Azerbaijan Journal of Mathematics V. 4, No 2, 2014, July ISSN 2218-6816

On an Inverse Problem for a Semilinear Parabolic Equation in the Case of Boundary Value Problem with Nonlinear Boundary Condition

A.Ya. Akhundov, A.I. Gasanova

Abstract. The goal of this paper is to investigate the well-posedness of the inverse problem on determination of the coefficient at a minor term of a semilinear parabolic equation in the case of nonlinear boundary condition. Additional condition is given in the nonlocal integral form. A uniqueness theorem and a "conditional" stability are proved.

Key Words and Phrases: inverse problem, semilinear parabolic equation, nonlinear boundary condition.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 35K58; 65L09; 35K61

Let \mathbb{R}^n be an *n*-dimensional real Euclidian space, $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ be an arbitrary point in the bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with a sufficiently smooth boundary ∂D , $\Omega = D \times [0;T]$, $S = \partial D \times [0;T]$ and 0 < T be a fixed number.

The spaces $C^{l}(\cdot)$, $C^{l+\alpha}(\cdot)$, $C^{l,l/2}(\cdot)$, $C^{l+\alpha,(l+\alpha)/2}(\cdot)$, $l = 0, 1, 2, \alpha \in (0, 1)$ and the norms in these spaces are defined as in [1, pp.12 - 20],

$$\|\cdot\|_{l} = \|\cdot\|_{C^{l}}, \quad u_{t} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad u_{x_{i}} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad i = \overline{1, n},$$

 $\Delta u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}$ is a Laplace operator, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$ is an internal conormal derivative.

We consider the following inverse problem on determining a pair of functions $\{u(x,t), c(t)\}$:

$$u_t - \Delta u + c(t) u = f(x, t, u), \qquad (x, t) \in \Omega$$
(1)

$$u(x,0) = \varphi(x), \ x \in \overline{D} = D \bigcup \partial D$$
(2)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \psi \left(x, t, u \right), \quad (x, t) \in S$$
(3)

http://www.azjm.org

© 2010 AZJM All rights reserved.

On an Inverse Problem for a Semilinear Parabolic Equation

$$\int_{D} u(x,t) \, dx = h(t) \,, \ t \in [0,T] \,, \tag{4}$$

where $f(x,t,p), \varphi(x), \psi(x,t,p), h(t)$ are the given functions.

The coefficient inverse problems were studied in the papers [2 - 4] (see also the references therein).

We make the following assumptions for the data of problem (1)-(4):

1⁰. $f(x,t,p) \in C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(\bar{\Omega} \times R^1)$, there exists $m_1 > 0$ such that for any $(x,t) \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $p_1, p_2 \in R^1 : |f(x,t,p_1) - f(x,t,p_2)| \le m_1 |p_1 - p_2|$; 2⁰. $\varphi(x) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{D})$; 3⁰. $\psi(x,t,p) \in C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(S \times R^1)$, there exists $m_2 > 0$ such that for any $(x,t) \in S$ and $p_1, p_2 \in R^1$, $|\psi(x,t,p)| < C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(S \times R^1)$, there exists $m_2 > 0$ such that for any $(x,t) \in S$ and $p_1, p_2 \in R^1$, $|\psi(x,t,p)| < C^{\alpha,\alpha/2}(S \times R^1)$, there exists $m_2 > 0$ such that for any $(x,t) \in S$ and $p_2, p_3 \in R^1$.

 $p_1, p_2 \in R^1: |\psi(x, t, p_1) - \psi(x, t, p_2)| \le m_2 |p_1 - p_2|;$ $4^0. h(t) \in C^{1+\alpha}[0, T].$

Definition 1. The pair of functions $\{c(t), u(x, t)\}$ is called the solution of problem (1)-(4) *if*

1) $c(t) \in C(0,T];$

2) $u(x,t) \in C^{2,1}(\Omega) \bigcap C^{1,0}(\overline{\Omega});$

3) The conditions (1)-(4) hold for these functions, with condition (3) defined in the following sense:

$$\frac{\partial u\left(x,t\right)}{\partial \nu\left(x,t\right)} = \lim_{\substack{y \to x \\ u \in \sigma}} \frac{\partial u\left(y,t\right)}{\partial \nu\left(x,t\right)},$$

where σ is any closed cone with a vertex x in $D \bigcup \{x\}$.

The uniqueness theorem and the estimate of stability for the solutions of inverse problems occupy a central place in investigation of their well-posedness. In this paper, the uniqueness of the solution of problem (1)-(4) is proved under more general assumptions and the estimate characterizing the "conditional" stability of the problem is established.

Let $\{u_i(x,t), c_i(t)\}$ be the solution of problem (1) - (4) corresponding to the given $f_i(x,t,u_i), \varphi_i(x), \psi_i(x,t,u_i), h_i(t), i = 1, 2.$

Definition 2. A solution of problem (1)-(4) is called stable if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for $||f_1 - f_2||_0 < \delta$, $||\varphi_1 - \varphi_2||_2 < \delta$, $||\psi_1 - \psi_2||_0 < \delta$, $||h_1 - h_2||_1 < \delta$ the inequality $||u_1 - u_2||_0 + ||c_1 - c_2||_0 \le \varepsilon$ is fulfilled.

Theorem 1. Let

1. $f_i, \varphi_i, \psi_i, h_i, i = 1, 2$ satisfy the conditions $1^0 - 4^0$, respectively;

2. there exist the solutions $\{u_i(x,t), c_i(t)\}, i = 1, 2, of problem (1) - (4) in the sense of definition 1, and let they, in addition, belong to the set$

$$K_{\alpha} = \left\{ (u,c) \left| u(x,t) \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\alpha/2}\left(\bar{\Omega}\right), \quad c(t) \in C^{\alpha}\left[0,T\right] \right\} \right\}$$

Then there exists a $T^* > 0$ such that for $(x,t) \in \overline{D} \times [0,T^*]$ the solution of problem (1) – (4) is unique, and the stability estimate

$$\|u_1 - u_2\|_0 + \|c_1 - c_2\|_0 \le m_3 \left[\|f_1 - f_2\|_0 + \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_2 + \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_0 + \|h_1 - h_2\|_1\right]$$
(5)

is valid, where $m_3 > 0$ depends on the data of problem (1)-(4) and the set K_{α} .

Proof. First we prove the validity of estimate (5). In order to get a uniqueness theorem, below we should suppose that perturbations of problem data are everywhere identically equal to zero. In view of (2) and the conditions of the theorem, from equation (1) for the function c(t) we get

$$c(t) = \left[\int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} dx + \int_{D} f(x, t, u) dx - h_t(t)\right] \setminus h(t), \ t \in [0, 1],$$
(6)

Denote $z(x,t) = u_1(x,t) - u_2(x,t), \lambda(t) = c_1(t) - c_2(t), \delta_1(x,t,u) = f_1(x,t,u) - f_2(x,t,u), \delta_2(x) = \varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x), \delta_3(x,t,u) = \psi_1(x,t,u) - \psi_2(x,t,u), \delta_4(t) = h_1(t) - h_2(t)$

We can verify that the functions $\{\lambda(t), w(x,t) = z(x,t) - \delta_2(x)\}$ satisfy the conditions of the system

$$w_t - \Delta w = F(x,t), \qquad (x,t) \in \Omega,$$
(7)

$$w(x,0) = 0, x \in \overline{D}; \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}(x,t) = \Psi(x,t), \quad (x,t) \in S$$
 (8)

$$\lambda(t) = \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial z}{\partial \nu} dx \langle h_1(t) + H(t), t \in [0, T], \qquad (9)$$

where

$$F(x,t) = \delta_1(x,t,u_1) - \Delta\delta_2(x) - c_1(t) z(x,t) - \lambda(t) u_2(x,t) + f_2(x,t,u_1) - f_2(x,t,u_2),$$
$$\Psi(x,t) = \delta_3(x,t,u_1) - \frac{\partial\delta_2}{\partial\nu}(x) + \psi_2(x,t,u_1) - \psi_2(x,t,u_2),$$

$$H(t) = \left\{ \left[\int_{D} \delta_{1}(x, t, u_{1}) dx + \int_{D} \left[f_{2}(x, t, u_{1}) - f_{2}(x, t, u_{2}) \right] dx - \delta_{4t}(t) \right] h_{2}(t) - \left[\int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial \nu} dx - h_{2t}(t) + \int_{D} f_{2}(x, t, u_{2}) dx \right] \delta_{4}(t) \right\} [h_{1}(t) \cdot h_{2}(t)]^{-1}$$

By the conditions of the theorem, if follows that there exists a classic solution of problem (7), (8) which can be represented in the following form [5, p.182]:

On an Inverse Problem for a Semilinear Parabolic Equation

$$w(x,t) = \int_0^t \int_D (x,t;\xi,\tau) F(\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau + \int_0^t \int_{\partial D} (x,t;\xi,\tau) \rho(\xi,\tau) d\xi_{\partial D} d\tau, \quad (10)$$

where $(x,t;\xi,\tau)$ is a fundamental solution of the equation $w_t - \Delta w = 0$, $d\xi = d\xi_1...d\xi_n$, is an element of the surface ∂D , and $\rho(x,t)$ is a continuous bounded solution of the following integral equation [2, p. 183]

$$\rho(x,t) = 2 \int_0^t \int_D \frac{\partial(x,t;\xi,\tau)}{\partial\nu(x,t)} F(\xi,\tau) d\xi d\tau + + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial(x,t;\xi,\tau)}{\partial\nu(x,t)} \rho(\xi,\tau) d\xi_{\partial D} d\tau - 2\Psi(x,t) .$$
(11)

Assume

$$\chi = \|u_1 - u_2\|_0 + \|c_1 - c_2\|_0.$$

Estimate the function |z(x,t)|. Taking into account that $z(x,t) = w(x,t) + \delta_2(x)$, from (10) we get:

$$|z(x,t)| \le |w(x,t)| + |\delta_{2}(x)| \le |\delta_{2}(x)| + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{D} |(x,t,\xi,\tau)| \cdot |F(\xi,\tau)| \, d\xi d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\partial D} |(x,t,\xi,\tau)| \cdot |\rho(\xi,\tau)| \, d\xi_{\partial D} d\tau.$$
(12)

For the expression $\int_D |(x,t,\xi,\tau)| d\xi$, in the second summand on the right hand side of (12), the following estimate is true:

$$\int_{D} |(x,t,\xi,\tau)| \, d\xi \le m_3. \tag{13}$$

By the requirements imposed on the problem data and on the set K_{α} , the integrand function F(x,t) in the second summand on the right side of (12) satisfies the estimate

$$|F(x,t)| \le |\delta_1(x,t)| + |\Delta\delta_2(x)| + |c_1(t)| |z(x,t)| + |\lambda(t)| |u_2(x,t)| + |\Delta\delta_2(x,t)| + |c_1(t)| |z(x,t)| + |\lambda(t)| |u_2(x,t)| + |b_1(t)| |u_2(x,t)| + |b_2(t)| |u_2(x,t)| + |b_1(t)| |u_2(x,t)| + |b_2(t)| |u_2(x,t)| + |b_2(t)| |u_2(t)| + |b_2(t)| |u_2(t)| |u_2(t)| + |b_2(t)| + |b_2(t)| |u_2(t)| + |b_2(t)| + |b_2$$

$$+ |f_2(x,t,u_1) - f_2(x,t,u_2)| \le ||f_1 - f_2||_0 + ||\varphi_1 - \varphi_2||_2 + m_4 \cdot \chi, \quad (x,t) \in \overline{\Omega},$$
(14)

where $m_4 > 0$ depends on the data of problem (1)-(4) and on the set K_{α} .

The expression $\int_{\partial D} |(x,t;\xi,\tau)| d\xi_{\partial D}$ in the third summand on the right side of (12) satisfies the estimate

$$\int_{\partial D} |(x,t;\xi,\tau)| \, d\xi_{\partial D} \le m_5. \tag{15}$$

Taking into account (11), the conditions of the theorem, definition of the set K_{α} and the following estimate [5, p. 20]:

$$\int_{D} \left| \frac{\partial (x,t;\xi,\tau)}{\partial \nu (x,t)} \right| d\xi \le m_6 (t-\tau)^{-\mu}, \qquad \frac{1}{2} < \mu < 1$$

for the function $\rho(x,t)$ we get

$$|\rho(x,t)| \le m_7 [\|\delta_1\|_0 + \|\delta_2\|_2 + \|\delta_3\|_0 + \chi] + m_8 \|\rho\| \cdot t^{1-\mu}, \ (x,t) \in S,$$

where m_7 , $m_8 > 0$ depend on the data of problem (1)-(4) and on the set K_{α} .

The last inequality is fulfilled for all $(x, t) \in \partial D \times [0, T]$, therefore the following estimate is true:

$$\|\rho\|_{0} \leq m_{7} \left[\|\delta_{1}\|_{0} + \|\delta_{2}\|_{2} + \|\delta_{3}\|_{0} + \chi\right] + m_{8}t^{1-\mu}\|\rho\|_{0}$$

Let $0 < T_1 \leq T$ be a number such that $m_8 T^{1-\mu} < 1$. Then for all $(x,t) \in \partial D \times [0,T_1]$ we have

$$\|\rho\|_{0} \le m_{9} \left[\|\delta_{1}\|_{0} + \|\delta_{2}\|_{2} + \|\delta_{3}\|_{0} + \chi\right],$$
(16)

where $m_9 > 0$ depends on the data of problem (1)-(4) and the set K_{α} .

Taking into account the inequalities (13), (14), (15) and (16), from (12) for |z(x,t)| we get:

$$|z(x,t)| \le m_{10} [\|\delta_1\|_0 + \|\delta_2\|_2 + \|\delta_3\|_0] + m_{11}\chi \cdot t, \quad (x,t) \in \bar{\Omega},$$
(17)

where m_{10} , $m_{11} > 0$ depend on the data of problem (1)-(4) and on the set K_{α} .

Now estimate the function $|\lambda(t)|$. From (9) it follows

$$\left|\lambda\left(t\right)\right| \leq \int_{\partial D} \left|\frac{\partial z}{\partial \nu}\right| dx \cdot \left|h_{1}\left(t\right)^{-1}\right| + \left|H\left(t\right)\right|.$$

Similar to (17), we get

$$\left|\frac{\partial z}{\partial \nu}\right| \le m_{13} \left[\|\delta_1\|_0 + \|\delta_2\|_2 + \|\delta_3\|_0\right] + m_{14}\chi t, \ (x,t) \in \bar{\Omega},\tag{18}$$

where $m_{13}, m_{14} > 0$ depend on the data of the problem (1)-(4) and on the set K_{α} .

Taking into account the conditions of the theorem, definition of the set K_{α} , inequality (18) and expression for H(t), from the last inequality we get:

$$|\lambda(t)| \le m_{15} \left[\|\delta_1\|_0 + \|\delta_2\|_2 + \|\delta_3\|_0 + \|\delta_4\|_2 \right] + m_{16}\chi \cdot t, \quad t \in [0, T],$$
(19)

where $m_{15}, m_{16} > 0$ depend on the data of the problem (1)-(4) and on the set K_{α} .

Inequalities (18) and (19) are satisfied for any values of $(x,t) \in \overline{D} \times [0,T_1]$.

Consequently, combining these inequalities, we get

On an Inverse Problem for a Semilinear Parabolic Equation

$$\chi \le m_{17} \left[\|\delta_1\|_0 + \|\delta_2\|_2 + \|\delta_3\|_0 + \|\delta_4\|_2 \right] + m_{18} t \chi, \tag{20}$$

where m_{17} , $m_{18} > 0$ depend on the data of problem (1)-(4) and the set K_{α} .

Let T_2 $(0 < T_2 \le T)$ be a number such that $m_{18}T_2 < 1$. Then from (19) we get that for $(x,t) \in \overline{D} \times [0,T^*]$, $T^* = \min(T_1,T_2)$, the stability estimate for the solution of problem (1)-(4) is true.

Uniqueness of the solution of problem (1)-(4) follows from the estimate (5) for $f_1(x, t, u) = f_2(x, t, u)$, $\varphi_1(x) = \varphi_2(x)$, $\psi_1(x, t, u) = \psi_2(x, t, u)$, $h_1(t) = h_2(t)$. The theorem is completely proved.

References

- Ladyzhenskaya O.A., Solonnikov V.A., Uraltseva N.I. Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type. M. Nauka, 1967, 736 p. (Russian).
- [2] Iskenderov A.D. Many-dimensional inverse problems for linear and quasilinear parabolic equations. DAN SSSR, vol. 225, No 5, 1975, pp. 1005-1008 (Russian).
- [3] Iskenderov A. D., Akhundov A. V. Y. Inverse problems for a linear system of parabolic equations. Doklady Mathematics, Vol. 79, 1, 2009, pp. 73 – 75.
- [4] Akhundov A.Y. Some inverse problems for strong parabolic systems. Ukraine math. Journal, v. 58, No, 1, 2006, pp. 114-123 (Rusian).
- [5] Fredman A. Parabolic type partial equations. M. Mir, 1968, 427 p. (Russian).

A.Ya. Akhundov Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan, Baku, Azerbaijan E-mail: adalatakhund@mail.ru

A.I. Gasanova

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan, Baku, Azerbaijan E-mail: aynur.hasanova73@yahoo.com

Received 17 April 2013 Accepted 18 November 2013