Azerbaijan Journal of Mathematics V. 4, No 2, 2014, July ISSN 2218-6816

On Some Classes of Mixed Generalized Quasi-Einstein Manifolds

A. Taleshian^{*}, A. A. Hosseinzadeh, K. Darvishi

Abstract. In this paper, we consider generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds. Then we investigate some properties of Ricci-pseudosymmetric and Ricci semi-symmetric mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds. Finally, we get relation mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold.

Key Words and Phrases: Quasi-Einstein manifold, Generalized quasi-Einstein manifold, Mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold, Quasi-conformal curvature tensor.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 53C25

1. Introduction

The notion of a quasi-Einstein manifold was introduced by M. C. Chaki in [2]. A non flat n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is said to be a quasi-Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S satisfies

$$S(X,Y) = ag(X,Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad \forall X,Y \in TM$$

for some non-zero scalars a and $b \neq 0$, where η is a non zero 1-form such that

$$g(X,\xi) = \eta(X), \quad g(\xi,\xi) = \eta(\xi) = 1$$

for the associated vector field ξ . The 1-forms η is called the associated 1-form and the unit vector field ξ is called the generator of the manifold. In [3], U. C. De and G. C. Ghosh introduced the notion of a generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds. A non-flat Riemannian manifold M is called a generalized quasi-Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S of type (0,2) is non-zero and satisfies the condition

$$S(X,Y) = ag(X,Y) + bA(X)A(Y) + cB(X)B(Y),$$

where a, b, c are some non-zero scalars and A, B are two non-zero 1-forms such that

$$g(X, U) = A(X), \quad g(X, V) = B(X), \quad g(U, V) = 0,$$

http://www.azjm.org

© 2010 AZJM All rights reserved.

^{*}Corresponding author.

i.e. U, V are orthogonal vector fields on M. In [1], A. Bhattacharyya and T. De introduced notion of a mixed quasi-Einstein manifold. A non-flat Riemannian manifold is called a mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S of type (0,2) is non-zero and satisfies the condition

$$S(X,Y) = ag(X;Y) + bK(X)K(Y) + cL(X)L(Y) + d[K(X)L(Y) + L(X)K(Y)], \quad (1)$$

where a, b, c, d are non-zero scalars,

$$g(X,U) = K(X), \quad g(X,V) = L(X), \quad g(U,V) = 0,$$
 (2)

K, L being two non-zero 1-forms, and U, V are unit vector fields corresponding to the 1-forms K and L, respectively. We denote this type of manifold by $MG(QE)_n$. If d = 0, then this manifold reduces to a $G(QE)_n$. From (1), we get

$$r = na + b + c,\tag{3}$$

where r denotes the scalar curvature of the manifold.

2. Ricci-pseudosymmetric mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds

An n-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is called Ricci-pseudosymmetric [4] if the tensors R.S and Q(g, S) are linearly dependent, where

$$(R(X,Y).S)(Z,W) = -S(R(X,Y)Z,W) - S(Z,R(X,Y)W),$$
(4)

$$Q(g,S)(Z,W;X,Y) = -S((X \wedge Y)Z,W) - S(Z,(X \wedge Y)W)$$
(5)

and

$$(X \wedge Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y$$
(6)

for vector fields X, Y, Z, W on M^n , R denotes the curvature tensor of M^n [6]. The condition of Ricci-pseudosymmetricity is equivalent to the relation

$$(R(X,Y).S)(Z,W) = L_s Q(g,S)(Z,W;X,Y),$$
(7)

which holds on the set

$$U_s = \{ x \in M : S \neq \frac{r}{n}g \ at \ x \},$$

where L_s is some function on U_s [6]. If R.S = 0 then M^n is called Ricci-semisymmetric. Every Ricci-semisymmetric manifold is Ricci-pseudosymmetric but the converse is not true ([4],[6]).

In this section, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Let (M^n, g) be an n-dimensional mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold. If M^n is Ricci-pseudosymmetric then we have

$$R(X, Y, U, V) = L_s\{K(Y)L(X) - L(Y)K(X)\},$$
(8)

Proof. Let M^n be Ricci-pseudosymmetric. Then from (4)-(7) we obtain

$$S(R(X,Y)Z,W) + S(Z,R(X,Y)W) = L_s\{g(Y,Z)S(X,W)$$
(9)
-g(X,Z)S(Y,W) + g(Y,W)S(X,Z) - g(X,W)S(Y,Z)\}.

Since M^n is a mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold, using the well-known properties of the curvature tensor R we get

$$b[A(R(X,Y)Z)A(W) + A(R(X,Y)W)A(Z)]$$
(10)
+ $c[B(R(X,Y)Z)B(W) + B(R(X,Y)W)B(Z)]$
+ $d[A(R(X,Y)Z)B(W) + B(R(X,Y)Z)A(W)$
+ $A(R(X,Y)W)B(Z) + B(R(X,Y)W)A(Z)]$
= $L_s\{b[g(Y,Z)A(X)A(W) - g(X,Z)A(Y)A(W)$
+ $g(Y,W)A(X)A(Z) - g(X,W)A(Y)A(Z)]$
+ $c[g(Y,Z)B(X)B(W) - g(X,Z)B(Y)B(W)$
+ $g(Y,W)B(X)B(Z) - g(X,W)B(Y)B(Z)]$
+ $d[g(Y,Z)A(X)B(W) + g(Y,Z)B(X)A(W)$
- $g(X,Z)A(Y)B(W) - g(X,Z)B(Y)A(W)$
+ $g(Y,W)A(X)B(Z) + g(Y,W)A(Z)B(X)$
- $g(X,W)A(Y)B(Z) - g(X,W)A(Z)B(Y)]\}.$

Taking Z = W = U in (10) we get $2d\{R(X, Y, Z, W) - L_s\{B(X)A(Y) - A(X)B(Y)\}\} = 0.$ Since $d \neq 0$, we have $R(X, Y, Z, W) - L_s\{B(X)A(Y) - A(X)B(Y)\} = 0.$ This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. Ricci semi-symmetric mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds

An *n*-dimensional manifold $(M^n; g)$ is called semi-symmetric [5], if $R(X; Y) . S = 0 \forall X, Y$ where R(X; Y) denotes the curvature operator. Now, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2. There is no mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold satisfying R(X, Y).S = 0.

Proof. Since R(X,Y).S(Z,W) = -S(R(X,Y)Z,W) - S(Z,R(X,Y)W), the condition R(X,Y).S = 0 gives 0 = S(R(X,Y)Z,W) + S(Z,R(X,Y)W). Then

$$ag(R(X,Y)Z,W) + bK(R(X,Y)Z)K(W) + cL(R(X,Y)Z)L(W)$$
(11)
+ $d[K(R(X,Y)Z)L(W) + L(R(X,Y)Z)K(W)] + ag(R(X,Y)W,Z)$
+ $bK(R(X,Y)W)K(Z) + cL(R(X,Y)W)L(Z)$
+ $d[K(R(X,Y)W)L(Z) + L(R(X,Y)W)K(Z) = 0.$

Putting Z = W = U in (11) we obtain

$$2dL(R(X,Y)U) = 0$$

or,

$$2dg(R(X,Y)U,V) = 0$$

or,

$$2d \acute{R}(X,Y,U,V) = 0,$$

where $\hat{R}(X,Y,U,V) = g(R(X,Y)U,V)$. Since $d \neq 0$ and $\hat{R} \neq 0$, this is not possible. This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. Mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds satisfying the condition $\tilde{C}.S = 0$

Let (M^n, g) be a Riemannian manifold, the quasi-conformal curvature tensor be defined as in [7],

$$\tilde{C}(X,Y)Z = \lambda R(X,Y)Z + \mu \{S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY\}$$
(12)
$$-\frac{r}{n} [\frac{\lambda}{n-1} + 2\mu] \{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\}.$$

where Q is the Ricci operator defined by

$$S(X,Y) = g(QX,Y).$$

An n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) (n > 3), is called quasi-conformally flat if $\tilde{C} = 0$. If $\lambda = 1$ and $\mu = -\frac{1}{n-2}$, then quasi-conformal curvature tensor is reduced to conformal curvature tensor [6].

Now we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 3. Assume that (M^n, g) (n > 3) is a mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold. From the condition $\tilde{C}.S = 0$ holding on (M^n) we get:

$$\lambda R(X, Y, V, U) = \left[\frac{(2-n)(b+c)\mu}{n} + \frac{(an+b+c)\lambda}{n(n-1)}\right] \{A(X)B(Y) - B(X)A(Y)\}$$
(13)

Proof. From the condition $\tilde{C}.S = 0$ holding on (M^n) we get:

$$S(\tilde{C}(X,Y)Z,W) + S(\tilde{C}(X,Y)W,Z) = 0,$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, W on (M^n) .

Since (M^n) is a mixed generalized quasi-Einstein manifold and $\tilde{C}(X,Y)W,Z) = \tilde{C}(X,Y)Z,W)$ we obtain

$$0 = b[A(\tilde{C}(X,Y)Z)A(W) + A(\tilde{C}(X,Y)W)A(Z)]$$

$$+c[B(\tilde{C}(X,Y)Z)B(W) + B(\tilde{C}(X,Y)WB(Z)]$$

$$+d[A(\tilde{C}(X,Y)Z)B(W) + B(\tilde{C}(X,Y)Z)A(W)$$

$$+A(\tilde{C}(X,Y)W)B(Z) + B(\tilde{C}(X,Y)W)A(Z)].$$

$$(14)$$

Taking Z = W = V in (14) we obtain

$$2d[A(C(X,Y)V)] = 0.$$
 (15)

Since $d \neq 0$, using (15) we have

$$A(\tilde{C}(X,Y)V) = \tilde{C}(X,Y,V,U) = 0.$$
(16)

From (12) and (16) we obtain (13). This completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- A. Bhattacharyya and T De. On Mixed Generalized Quasi-Einstein Manifolds. Differentiable Geometry-Dynamical Systems. 9:40-46, 2007.
- [2] M. C. Chaki. On generalized quasi Einstein manifolds. Publ. Math. Debrecen 58:683-691, 2001.
- [3] U. C. De and G. C. Ghosh. On Generalized Quasi-Einstein Manifolds. Kyungpook Math. J. 44:607-615, 2004.
- [4] R. Deszcz, On pseudosymmetric spaces, Bull. Soc. Belg. Math., Ser. A., 44:1-34, 1992.
- [5] R. Deszcz and M. Hotlos. Remarks on Riemannian manifolds satisfying a certain curvature condition imposed on the Ricci tensor. *Prace Nauk. Pol.Szczec.*, 11:23-34, 1989.

On Some Classes of Mixed Generalized Quasi-Einstein Manifolds

- [6] C. Özgür. On some classes of super quasi Einstein manifolds. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 40:1156-1161, 2009.
- [7] K. Yano and S. Sawaki. Riemannian manifolds admitting a conformal transformation grop. J. Differential Geometry, 2:161-184, 1968.

A. Taleshian

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Mazandaran University.P.O.Box 47416-1467,Babolsar, Iran. E-mail: taleshian@umz.ac.ir

A. A. Hosseinzadeh Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Mazandaran University.P.O.Box 47416-1467, Babolsar, Iran. E-mail: hosseinzadeh@umz.ac.ir

Received 08 April 2012 Accepted 29 January 2014