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#### Abstract

It is shown that, for non-real values of $\alpha$, the systems of the form $\left\{\exp \left(i \alpha \mu_{n} t\right)\right.$ $\left.\sin \left(\lambda_{n} t\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\left\{\exp \left(i \alpha \mu_{n} t\right) \cos \left(\lambda_{n} t\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ are not uniformly minimal in $L_{2}(a, b)$ and thus do not form bases for $L_{2}(a, b)$, whatever the interval $(a, b)$ is. Real sequences $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ are such that the limits $\underline{\underline{\lim }}\left(\lambda_{n} / \mu_{n}\right), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mu_{n+1}-\mu_{n}\right)$ do not vanish.
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In the theory of quadratic pencils of second-order differential operators, there appears necessity to study functional properties of the systems of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}: \varphi_{n}(t)=\exp \left(i \alpha \mu_{n} t\right) \sin \left(\lambda_{n} t\right), \quad\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}: \psi_{n}(t)=\exp \left(i \alpha \mu_{n} t\right) \cos \left(\lambda_{n} t\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Lebesgue spaces $L_{p}(a, b), p \geq 1$, where $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ are some number sequences, $\alpha$ is a complex constant.

The interest to these systems was invoked by A.G.Kostyuchenko who posed in 1969 the completeness problem for the first system in (1) with the simplest set of parameters: $\mu_{n}=\lambda_{n}=n, a=0, b=\pi$. This pattern system (we call it the Kostyuchenko system) has been studied intensively (see [1-4] for the overview of results). Particularly, it was shown that the Kostyuchenko system is complete in $L_{2}(0, \pi)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{(-\infty,-1] \cup[1,+\infty)\}$ and also complete in any $L_{p}(0, \pi), p \geq 1$ for $\alpha \in(-1,1)$. For $\alpha \in(-1,1)$, this system forms the Riesz basis for $L_{2}(0, \pi)$ if and only if $|\alpha|<(\exp (\pi \sqrt{3}-1) /(\exp (\pi \sqrt{3}+1)$ [2]. In the case when $\alpha$ is not real, the Kostyuchenko system does not form a basis for $L_{2}(0, \pi)$ as it is not uniformly minimal [5]; its nonbasicity in $L_{p}(0, \pi)$ for any $p \geq 1$ follows from [6].

The general form of systems (1) gained less attention. E.g., Lubarskij [7] studied its completeness and minimality in $L_{p}(0, \pi)$ in the case when $\mu_{n}=i \lambda_{n}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is a set of roots for the entire function of sine type. The conditions on the systems (1) to satisfy the Bessel-type inequality were discussed by Konashenko [8].

It is worth mentioning that the systems (1) also appear in other applications - in problems of optimal control [9], in boundary value problems for elliptic equations [1], etc.

In the present paper we consider a wide class of number sequences $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ and an arbitrary interval $(a, b)$. We prove that the condition $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is necessary for the uniform minimality in $L_{2}(a, b)$ and therefore, for the basicity in $L_{2}(a, b)$ of both systems in (1).

## 1. Preliminaries and main results

A system $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ in a Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called uniformly minimal in $\mathcal{B}$ if there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for any integer $k: \inf _{f \in E_{k}}\left\|e_{k}-f\right\| \geq \varepsilon_{0}\left\|e_{k}\right\|$, where $E_{k}$ denotes the closure of span of all elements $e_{n}$ with $n \neq k$. The system $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ forms a basis for $\mathcal{B}$ if for any $f \in \mathcal{B}$ there exists a unique sequence of scalars $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ such that $f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} e_{n}$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$. It is known [10] that any basis in $\mathcal{B}$ is uniformly minimal.

Assume the real number sequences $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ in (1) satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\underline{\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\mu_{n}} \equiv L \neq 0  \tag{2}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right) \equiv L_{1} \neq 0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mu_{n+1}-\mu_{n}\right) \equiv L_{2} \neq 0 \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem. Let the conditions (2) and (3) hold. If $\operatorname{Im} \alpha \neq 0$, then neither system in (1) is uniformly minimal in $L_{2}(a, b)$ whatever the interval $(a, b)$ is.

Note that the assumption (3) implies both sequences $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ are infinitely large, monotone (starting with some $n$ ), and without loss of generality we may put $L_{1}=L_{2}=1$. In fact, instead of these sequences one may consider the sequences $\left\{\mu_{n} / L_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n} / L_{1}\right\}$ and introduce the new parameter $\widetilde{\alpha}=\alpha L_{2} / L_{1}$ and new variable $\widetilde{t}=t L_{1}$. Besides, we may restrict exposition to the case when $\operatorname{Im} \alpha>0$ and $L>0$. If $\operatorname{Im} \alpha L<0$, then it is possible to substitute the variable $t$ by $(-t)$ and take into account the oddness of sine and evenness of cosine.

Therefore, in the sequel we assume that $\operatorname{Im} \alpha>0$ and the sequences $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ are infinitely large, monotone increasing and satisfy the conditions

$$
\underline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\mu_{n}} \equiv L>0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mu_{n+1}-\mu_{n}\right)=1
$$

We will consider only the first system in (1) as the second one is treated similarly.
The statement of the theorem follows immediately from the following
Lemma. Under the assumptions of the Theorem, for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist integers $k, n_{0}$ such that the inequality*

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\varphi_{n}}{\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{2}}-\frac{\varphi_{n+k}}{\left\|\varphi_{n+k}\right\|_{2}}\right\|_{2}<\varepsilon \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]holds for any $n \geq n_{0}$.
The inequality (4) with an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon$ obviously contradicts the uniform minimality of the system $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ in $L_{2}(a, b)$.

Matching of indices in (4) qualifies the degree of nonbasicity of the system (1) in the case of a non-real $\alpha$.

Corollary. Under the conditions (2) and (3) and for $\operatorname{Im} \alpha \neq 0$ :

1) the system $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ cannot form a basis for $L_{2}(a, b)$ even after removal of any finite number of its functions;
2) one can remove an infinite subsystem of $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ to leave the system still not uniformly minimal in $L_{2}(a, b)$.

These features of the system $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ with a non-real $\alpha$ resemble those of non-minimal systems of powers $\left\{t^{\mu_{n}}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ for which one can easily verify that the left-hand side of (4) vanishes as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any fixed $k$ and any interval $(a, b)$ of the positive axis.

## 2. Proof of the lemma

Using the identity
we will estimate the asymptotic behavior of the factors in the right-hand side for the large values of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a specially chosen value of $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For brevity, we denote $\operatorname{Re} \alpha=\alpha_{1}, \operatorname{Im} \alpha=\alpha_{2}$ and assume $\alpha_{2}>0$. We also introduce the function $K(\xi, \varkappa)=\frac{1}{1+\varkappa^{2}}(\cos \xi-\varkappa \sin \xi)$ which for any $\xi, \varkappa \in \mathbb{R}$, clearly satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|K(\xi, \varkappa)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varkappa^{2}}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The direct calculation yields

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{\exp \left(-2 \alpha_{2} \mu_{n} a\right)}{4 \alpha_{2} \mu_{n}}\left[1-K\left(2 \lambda_{n} a, \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\alpha_{2} \mu_{n}}\right)-\right. \\
&\left.-\exp \left(-2 \alpha_{2} \mu_{n}(b-a)\right)\left(1-K\left(2 \lambda_{n} b, \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\alpha_{2} \mu_{n}}\right)\right)\right] . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the factor $\exp \left(-2 \alpha_{2} \mu_{n}(b-a)\right)$ is infinitely small as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We denote this fact by $\exp \left(-2 \alpha_{2} \mu_{n}(b-a)\right)=o(1)$, where $o(1)$ stands for any quantity which is infinitesimal as $n \rightarrow \infty$ when all its other parameters are fixed.

By (6) and the condition (2), the term $A_{n} \equiv 1-K\left(2 \lambda_{n} a, \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\alpha_{2} \mu_{n}}\right)$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L^{2} / 4}} \leq A_{n} \leq 2 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large values of $n$.
Thus, the relation (7) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{\exp \left(-2 \alpha_{2} \mu_{n} a\right)}{4 \alpha_{2} \mu_{n}}\left(A_{n}+o(1)\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider now the norm of $\varphi_{n+k}(t)$ for any fixed value of $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The norm $\left\|\varphi_{n+k}\right\|_{2}^{2}$ satisfies (9) with $n$ substituted by $n+k$. As $\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n+k}=\lambda_{n}+k+o(1), \quad \mu_{n+k}=\mu_{n}+k+o(1) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, by (2), the relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{n+k}=\lambda_{n}(1+o(1)), \quad \mu_{n+k}=\mu_{n}(1+o(1)), \quad \frac{\lambda_{n+k}}{\mu_{n+k}}=\frac{\lambda_{n}}{\mu_{n}}(1+o(1)), \\
{\left[1+\left(\frac{\lambda_{n+k}}{\alpha_{2} \mu_{n+k}}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1}-\left[1+\left(\frac{\lambda_{n}}{\alpha_{2} \mu_{n}}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1}=\left[1+\left(\frac{\lambda_{n}}{\alpha_{2} \mu_{n}}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1} o(1)} \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

hold, we finally come to the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{n+k}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{\exp \left(-2 \alpha_{2} \mu_{n+k} a\right)}{4 \alpha_{2} \mu_{n}}\left(A_{n}+[1](1-\cos 2 k a)+[1] \sin 2 k a+o(1)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (12) we use the notation $\left[c_{0}\right]$. It denotes any quantity $f$ that satisfies the estimate $\left|f\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m}\right)\right| \leq c_{0}$ for all admissible values of its parameters $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m}$.

The integral factor in the right-hand side of (5) equals the sum of three integrals:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{a}^{b} \exp \left(-\alpha_{2}\left(\mu_{n}+\mu_{n+k}\right) t\right) \cdot \sin ^{2} \lambda_{n} t \cdot \cos \left(\lambda_{n+k}-\lambda_{n}\right) t d t+ \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{4} \int_{a}^{b} \exp \left(-\alpha_{2}\left(\mu_{n}+\mu_{n+k}\right) t\right) \cdot \sin 2 \lambda_{n} t \cdot \sin \left(\lambda_{n+k}-\lambda_{n}+\alpha_{1}\left(\mu_{n+k}-\mu_{n}\right)\right) t d t+ \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \int_{a}^{b} \exp \left(-\alpha_{2}\left(\mu_{n}+\mu_{n+k}\right) t\right) \cdot \sin 2 \lambda_{n} t \cdot \sin \left(\lambda_{n+k}-\lambda_{n}-\alpha_{1}\left(\mu_{n+k}-\mu_{n}\right)\right) t d t \equiv \\
& \equiv I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

For convenience, we put $\nu_{n k}=\alpha_{2}\left(\mu_{n}+\mu_{n+k}\right)$ and have the formula for $I_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{1}=\frac{\exp \left(-\nu_{n k} a\right)}{2 \nu_{n k}}\left[K\left(\left(\lambda_{n+k}-\lambda_{n}\right) a, \frac{\lambda_{n+k}-\lambda_{n}}{\nu_{n k}}\right)-\frac{1}{2} K\left(\left(\lambda_{n}+\lambda_{n+k}\right) a, \frac{\lambda_{n}+\lambda_{n+k}}{\nu_{n k}}\right)-\right. \\
-\frac{1}{2} K\left(\left(3 \lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n+k}\right) a, \frac{3 \lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n+k}}{\nu_{n k}}\right)-\exp \left(-\nu_{n k}(b-a)\right)\left(K\left(\left(\lambda_{n+k}-\lambda_{n}\right) b, \frac{\lambda_{n+k}-\lambda_{n}}{\nu_{n k}}\right)-\right. \\
\left.\left.\quad-\frac{1}{2} K\left(\left(\lambda_{n}+\lambda_{n+k}\right) b, \frac{\lambda_{n}+\lambda_{n+k}}{\nu_{n k}}\right)-\frac{1}{2} K\left(\left(3 \lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n+k}\right) b, \frac{3 \lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n+k}}{\nu_{n k}}\right)\right)\right] \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the twin formulas for $I_{2}, I_{3}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{2,3}=\frac{\exp \left(-\nu_{n k} a\right)}{8 \nu_{n k}}\left[K\left(\left(2 \lambda_{n}-\gamma_{n k}^{ \pm}\right) a, \frac{2 \lambda_{n}-\gamma_{n k}^{ \pm}}{\nu_{n k}}\right)-K\left(\left(2 \lambda_{n}+\gamma_{n k}^{ \pm}\right) a, \frac{2 \lambda_{n}+\gamma_{n k}^{ \pm}}{\nu_{n k}}\right)-\right. \\
& \left.-\exp \left(-\nu_{n k}(b-a)\right)\left(K\left(\left(2 \lambda_{n}-\gamma_{n k}^{ \pm}\right) b, \frac{2 \lambda_{n}-\gamma_{n k}^{ \pm}}{\nu_{n k}}\right)-K\left(\left(2 \lambda_{n}+\gamma_{n k}^{ \pm}\right) b, \frac{2 \lambda_{n}+\gamma_{n k}^{ \pm}}{\nu_{n k}}\right)\right)\right] \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma_{n k}^{ \pm}=\lambda_{n+k}-\lambda_{n} \pm \alpha_{1}\left(\mu_{n+k}-\mu_{n}\right)$.
By (8) and (11), the relations (14), (15) yield the estimates

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{1}=\frac{\exp \left(-\alpha_{2}\left(\mu_{n}+\mu_{n+k}\right) a\right)}{4 \alpha_{2} \mu_{n}}\left(A_{n}+[1](1-\cos k a)+[1] \sin k a+[4] \sin (k a / 2)+o(1)\right),  \tag{16}\\
I_{2,3}=\frac{\exp \left(-\alpha_{2}\left(\mu_{n}+\mu_{n+k}\right) a\right)}{4 \alpha_{2} \mu_{n}}\left([2] \sin \left(\left(1 \pm \alpha_{1}\right) k a / 2\right)+o(1)\right) . \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Applying (9), (12), (16) and (17), we rewrite (5) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\varphi_{n}}{\left\|\varphi_{n}\right\|_{2}}-\frac{\varphi_{n+k}}{\left\|\varphi_{n+k}\right\|_{2}}\right\|_{2}^{2}=2-2 \frac{A_{n}+\Delta_{1}(k)+o(1)}{\sqrt{A_{n}+o(1)} \sqrt{A_{n}+\Delta_{2}(k)+o(1)}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\Delta_{1}(k)\right| \leq 8|\sin (k a / 2)|+2\left|\sin \left(\left(1+\alpha_{1}\right) k a / 2\right)\right|+2\left|\sin \left(\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) k a / 2\right)\right| \\
\left|\Delta_{2}(k)\right| \leq 8|\sin (k a / 2)| \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now let $\delta>0$ be any small number. By the theorem on approximation of real numbers by rational fractions, we choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ to satisfy three inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\sin (k a / 2)| \leq \delta, \quad\left|\sin \left(\left(1+\alpha_{1}\right) k a / 2\right)\right| \leq \delta, \quad\left|\sin \left(\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) k a / 2\right)\right| \leq \delta . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the system (20) is equivalent to the inequalities

$$
\left|\frac{a}{4 \pi}-\frac{N_{1}}{k}\right| \leq \frac{\arcsin \delta}{2 \pi k}, \quad\left|\frac{\left(1 \pm \alpha_{1}\right) a}{4 \pi}-\frac{N_{2,3}}{k}\right| \leq \frac{\arcsin \delta}{2 \pi k}
$$

with some integers $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}$ while it is known [11, p.31] that the set

$$
\left|\frac{a}{4 \pi}-\frac{N_{1}}{k}\right| \leq k^{-4 / 3}, \quad\left|\frac{\left(1 \pm \alpha_{1}\right) a}{4 \pi}-\frac{N_{2,3}}{k}\right| \leq k^{-4 / 3}
$$

has infinitely many integer solutions with respect to $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}$ and $k$.
Let us fix the chosen integer $k$ and take $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_{0}$, all the quantities $o(1)$ in the right-hand side of (18) satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
|o(1)| \leq \delta \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\delta$ in (20) and (21) is arbitrarily small, (8) and (19) imply that the right-hand side of (5) can be made less than any given positive $\varepsilon$. Lemma is proved.

In conclusion, we remark that the statement of the main theorem holds true also in the case when the sequences $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ are complex and satisfy the following assumptions:
a) the value of $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right)=L_{1}$ is a non-zero real number;
b) the value of $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mu_{n+1}-\mu_{n}\right)=L_{2}$ is a non-zero complex number;
c) $\underline{l i m}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\lambda_{n} / \mu_{n}\right|>0$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha L_{2}\right) \neq 0$.

The proof of this fact mimics the above reasoning with minor changes.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{*}$ Here and below in the text $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ denotes the norm in $L_{2}(a, b)$.

