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Abstract. In the present paper, we prove existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for nonlinear parabolic problem whose model is
\[
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left[\omega|\nabla v-\Theta(v)|^{p(x)-2}(\nabla v-\Theta(v))\right]+\beta(v)=f & \text { in } \quad Q_{T}:=(0, T) \times \Omega \\ v=0 & \text { on } \quad \Sigma_{T}:=(0, T) \times \partial \Omega \\ v(\cdot, 0)=v_{0} & \text { in } \quad \Omega .\end{cases}
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The main tool used here is the Rothe time-discretization method combined with the theory of weighted Sobolev spaces with variable exponents.
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\section*{1. Introduction}

Our goal in this work is to prove the existence and uniqueness results for weak solutions of the following nonlinear parabolic problem:
\[
\left(P^{\omega}\right) \begin{cases}\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}(\Phi(\nabla v-\Theta(v)))+\beta(v)=f & \text { in } \quad Q_{T}:=(0, T) \times \Omega, \\ v=0 & \text { on } \quad \Sigma_{T}:=(0, T) \times \partial \Omega, \\ v(\cdot, 0)=v_{0} & \text { in } \quad \Omega .\end{cases}
\]
*Corresponding author.
http://www.azjm.org 120 (C) 2010 AZJM All rights reserved.
\(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}(d \geq 3)\) is an open bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary \(\partial \Omega ; T\) is a fixed positive number; \(\nabla v\) is the gradient of \(v\) and \(\Phi(\xi):=\omega|\xi|^{p(x)-2} \xi\), for all \(\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\) with \(1<p(x)<d\).

The study of partial differential equations and variational problems with variable exponent has received considerable attention for the first time in 1931, in a work by Orlicz [23], but the field of variable exponent function spaces has witnessed an explosive growth in last years. The evolutions in science lead to a period of intense study of variable exponent spaces. Also observed were problems related to modelling of so-called electrorheological fluids, the study of thermorheological fluids and image processing. For more general application of this kind of problem we refer the reader to \([18,20,21,26]\).

Our problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\) arises in various physical fields as chemical heterogeneous catalysts, non-Newtonian fluids and as well as the theory of heat conduction in electrically conducting materials (see, for example, [8, 24, 26]. Here we shall refer to one of them which are related to turbulent flows.

\section*{Model: Flow through a porous medium in a turbulent regime} This model is governed by the continuity equation
\[
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla \varphi(\theta)-K(\theta) e|^{p-2}(\nabla \varphi(\theta)-K(\theta) e)\right)=0,
\]
where
- \(\theta\) is the volumetric content of moisture.
- \(\varphi(\theta)\) is the hydrostatic potential.
- \(K(\theta)\) is the hydraulic conductivity.
- \(e\) is the unit vector in the vertical direction.

The problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\) or special cases of it has been extensively studied by many authors in elliptic or parabolic case, we refer the reader to \([1,3,4,5,9,11,14,15]\).

We mention that the Euler forward scheme has been used by several authors while studying time discretization of nonlinear parabolic problems, For the more complete references, we refer the reader to \([6,10,12,13,16,20,22,25]\).

The advantage of our method is that we cannot only obtain the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to the problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\), but also compute the numerical approximations. In the particular case, where \(\Theta=0\), the author in [16] proved the existence and uniqueness of entropy solutions in Orlicz spaces by using our Rothe time-discretization method.

This paper is divided into five parts. In Part 1, we introduce the problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\) and we state the assumptions. In Part 2, we mention some preliminary results
and notations, also we state our main result. In Part 3, we discretize the problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\) by the Euler forward scheme, we prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solution for the discretized problems and we show some stability results. At the last section, we finish this work by treating the convergence and existence for the problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\), moreover, we confirm the uniqueness of solution.

\section*{2. Preliminary results and notations}

As the problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\) depends on the weight \(\omega\) and the variable \(p(x)\), we should use the weighted Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents. We recall some notations and definitions which will be used in this paper.

We consider the following set
\(C^{+}(\bar{\Omega})=\left\{p: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}: p\right.\) is continuous and such that \(\left.1<p_{-}<p_{+}<\infty\right\}\),
where
\[
p_{-}=\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x) \text { and } p_{+}=\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)
\]

Let \(\omega\) be a measurable positive and a.e. finite function defined in \(\mathbb{R}^{d}\) and satisfying the following integrability conditions:
\[
\begin{gather*}
\omega \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\Omega) \quad \text { and } \quad \omega^{\frac{-1}{p(x)-1}} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\Omega)  \tag{1}\\
\omega^{-s(x)} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\Omega), \text { where } \quad s(x) \in\left(\frac{d}{p(x)}, \infty\right) \cap\left[\frac{1}{p(x)-1}, \infty\right) . \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
\]

For \(p(\cdot) \in C^{+}(\bar{\Omega})\), we define the weighted Lebesgue space with variable exponent \(L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega)\) by
\[
L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega)=\left\{v: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: v \text { is measurable and } \int_{\Omega}|v|^{p(x)} \omega(x) \mathrm{d} x<\infty\right\}
\]

We denote by \(L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}\left(\Omega, \omega^{*}\right)\) the conjugate space of \(L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega)\), where
\[
\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)}=1
\]
and where
\[
\omega^{*}(x)=\omega(x)^{1-p^{\prime}(x)} \quad \text { for all } x \in \Omega
\]

On the space \(L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega)\), we consider the function \(\rho_{p(\cdot), \omega}: L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) defined by
\[
\rho_{p(\cdot), \omega}(v)=\rho_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega)}(v)=\int_{\Omega}|v(x)|^{p(x)} \omega(x) \mathrm{d} x .
\]

The connection between \(\rho_{p(\cdot), \omega}\) and \(\|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}\) is established by the following result. Let \(v\) be an element of \(L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega)\). Then the following assertions hold:
1. \(\|v\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}<1\) (respectively \(\left.>,=1\right) \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(\cdot), \omega}(v)<1\) (respectively \(>,=1\) ).
2. If \(\|v\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}<1\), then \(\|v\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}^{p_{+}} \leq \rho_{p(\cdot), \omega}(v) \leq\|v\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}^{p_{-}}\).
3. If \(\|v\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}>1\), then \(\|v\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}^{p_{-}} \leq \rho_{p(\cdot), \omega}(v) \leq\|v\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}^{p_{+}}\).
4. \(\|v\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(\cdot), \omega}(v) \rightarrow 0\) and \(\|v\|_{p(\cdot), \omega} \rightarrow \infty \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(\cdot), \omega}(v) \rightarrow \infty\).

Proof. See Proposition 2.1 in [2].
The weighted Sobolev space with variable exponent is defined by
\[
W^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega)=\left\{v \in L^{p(\cdot)} \text { and }|\nabla v| \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega)\right\},
\]
endowed with the norm
\[
\|v\|_{1, p(\cdot), \omega}=\|v\|_{p(\cdot)}+\|\nabla v\|_{p(\cdot), \omega}, \quad \forall v \in W^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega) .
\]

Hereinafter, by \(W_{0}^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega)\) we denote the closure of \(C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)\) in \(W^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega)\).
Let \(p(\cdot), s(\cdot)\) be two elements of space \(C^{+}(\bar{\Omega})\), where the function \(s(\cdot)\) satisfies the condition (2). We define the following functions:
\[
\begin{gathered}
p^{*}(x)=\frac{d p(x)}{d-p(x)} \quad \text { for } p(x)<d, \\
p_{s}(x)=\frac{p(x) s(x)}{1+s(x)}<p(x) \\
p_{s}^{*}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{p(x) s(x)}{(1+s(x)) d-p(x) s(x)} & \text { if } d>p_{s}(x), \\
+\infty & \text { if } d \leq p_{s}(x)
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
\]
for almost all \(x \in \Omega\).
Proposition 1. Let \(\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\) be an open set of \(\mathbb{R}, p(\cdot) \in C^{+}(\Omega)\) and let (1) be satisfied. Then we have
\[
L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega) \hookrightarrow L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\Omega) .
\]

Proof. See Proposition 2.8 in [19].
Proposition 2. Let condition (1) be satisfied. Then the space \(\left(W^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega, \omega),\|v\|_{1, p(\cdot), \omega}\right)\) is a separable and reflexive Banach space.

Proof. See Theorem 2.10 in [19].

Proposition 3. Suppose that conditions (1) and (2) hold and \(p(\cdot), s(\cdot) \in C^{+}(\bar{\Omega})\). Then we have the continuous embedding
\[
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1, p_{s}(x)}(\Omega, \omega) .
\]

Moreover, we have the compact embedding
\[
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega) \hookrightarrow \hookrightarrow L^{r(x)}(\Omega),
\]
provided that \(r \in C^{+}(\bar{\Omega})\) and \(1 \leq r(x)<p_{s}^{*}(x)\) for all \(x \in \Omega\).
Proof. In [19].
Proposition 4. (Holder inequality in [17]) Let \(p(\cdot), p^{\prime}(\cdot) \in C^{+}(\bar{\Omega})\) with \(\frac{1}{p(\cdot)}+\) \(\frac{1}{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}=1\). Then for any \(v_{1} \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)\) and \(v_{2} \in L^{p^{\prime}(\cdot)}(\Omega)\) we have
\[
\left|\int_{\Omega} v_{1} \cdot v_{2} d x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{p_{-}}+\frac{1}{p_{-}^{\prime}}\right)\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}\left\|v_{2}\right\|_{p^{\prime}(\cdot)} .
\]

We assume that the exponent \(p(x)\) is log-Holder continuous, i.e., there is a constant \(C\) such that
\[
\begin{equation*}
|p(x)-p(y)| \leq \frac{C}{-\log |x-y|}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
\]
for every \(x, y\) with \(|x-y| \leq \frac{1}{2}\).
Proposition 5. (Poincarè type inequality in [20]) Let \(p(\cdot) \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})\) satisfy the log-Holder continuity condition (3). If (1) and (2) hold, then the estimate
\[
\|v\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \leq C\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)},
\]
holds, for every \(u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)\) with a positive constant \(C\) independent of \(v\).
Lemma 1. For \(\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\) and \(1<p<\infty\), we have
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}|\xi|^{p}-\frac{1}{p}|\eta|^{p} \leq|\xi|^{p-2} \xi(\xi-\eta) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
\]

Proof. Consider the function \(g: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) defined by \(x \mapsto x^{p}-p x+(p-1)\). We have
\[
g(x) \geq \min _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{+}} g(y)=g(1)=0 \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{+} .
\]

Therefore, we take \(x=\frac{|\eta|}{|\xi|}\) (if \(|\xi|=0\), the result is obvious) in the inequality above to get the result of the lemma by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Lemma 2. ([8]) Let \(p, p^{\prime}\) be two real numbers such that \(p>1, p^{\prime}>1\) and \(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=\) 1. Then we have
\[
\begin{gathered}
\|\left.\xi\right|^{p-2} \xi-\left.|\eta|^{p-2} \eta\right|^{p^{\prime}} \leq \\
\leq C\left\{(\xi-\eta)\left(|\xi|^{p-2} \xi-|\eta|^{p-2} \eta\right)\right\}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left\{|\xi|^{p}+|\eta|^{p}\right\}^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{d}
\end{gathered}
\]
where \(\alpha=2\) if \(1<p \leq 2\) and \(\alpha=p^{\prime}\) if \(p \geq 2\).
Remark 1. Hereinafter, \(c_{i},(i \in \mathbb{N})\) are positive constants independent of \(N\).
Definition 1. A measurable function \(v: Q_{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is a weak solution to nonlinear parabolic problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\) in \(Q_{T}\) if \(v(., 0)=v_{0}\) in \(\Omega, v \in C\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap\) \(L^{p(x)}\left(0, T ; W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)\right), \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)\) and we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \varphi d x d t & +\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \Phi(\nabla v-\Theta(v)) \cdot \nabla \varphi d x d t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \beta(v) \varphi d x d t \\
= & \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} f \varphi d x d t, \quad \forall \varphi \in C^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
\]

Given a constant \(k>0\), we define the cut function \(T_{k}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) as
\[
T_{k}(s):=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
s & & \text { if } \\
k & |s| \leq k \\
k & \operatorname{sign}(s) & \text { if } \\
|s|>k
\end{array}\right.
\]
where
\[
\operatorname{sign}(s):=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & s>0 \\
0 & \text { if } & s=0 \\
-1 & \text { if } & s<0
\end{array}\right.
\]

Here, we state the main result of our paper.
Theorem 1. Under the hypotheses \((H 1),(H 2)\) and \((H 3)\), there exists a unique weak solution for the nonlinear parabolic problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\).

\section*{3. The semi-discrete problem and stability results}

\subsection*{3.1. The semi-discrete problem}

In this part, we discretize the problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\) by Euler forward scheme and we study the questions of existence and uniqueness for the discretized problems. We make the following hypotheses.
(H1) \(\beta\) is a non decreasing continuous real function on \(\mathbb{R}\), surjective such that \(\beta(0)=0\) and \(|\beta(x)| \leq M|x|\), where \(M\) is a positive constant.
(H2) \(f \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)\) and \(v_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)\).
(H3) \(\Theta\) is a continuous function from \(\mathbb{R}\) to \(\mathbb{R}^{d}\) and \(\Theta(0)=0\) such that \(\mid \Theta(x)-\) \(\Theta(y)|\leq \lambda| x-y \mid\), for all \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}\), and \(\lambda\) is a positive constant.
The Euler forward scheme applied to the problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\) yields the following problem:
\[
\left(P^{\omega}\right) \begin{cases}V_{n}-\tau \operatorname{div}\left(\Phi\left(\nabla V_{n}-\Theta\left(V_{n}\right)\right)\right)+\tau \beta\left(V_{n}\right)=\tau f_{n}+V_{n-1} & \text { in } \Omega, \\ V_{n}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega, \\ V_{0}=v_{0} & \text { in } \Omega,\end{cases}
\]
where \(N \tau=T, 0<\tau<1,1 \leq n \leq N, t_{n}=n \tau\) and
\[
f_{n}(\cdot)=\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} f(s, \cdot) d s, \text { in } \Omega
\]

A weak solution to the discretized problem \(\left(P_{n}^{\omega}\right)\) is a sequence \(\left(V_{n}\right)_{0 \leq n \leq N}\) such that \(V_{0}=u_{0}\) and \(V_{n}\) is defined by induction as a weak solution to the problem
\[
\begin{cases}v-\tau \operatorname{div}(\Phi(\nabla v-\Theta(v)))+\tau \beta(v)=\tau f_{n}+V_{n-1} & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{6}\\ v=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
\]
i.e. for \(V_{n} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)\) and \(\forall \varphi \in W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega), \forall \tau>0\), we have \(\int_{\Omega} V_{n} \varphi d x+\tau \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\nabla V_{n}-\Theta\left(V_{n}\right)\right) . \nabla \varphi d x+\tau \int_{\Omega} \beta\left(V_{n}\right) \varphi d x=\int_{\Omega}\left(\tau f_{n}+V_{n-1}\right) \varphi d x\).

Theorem 2. Under the hypotheses (H1), (H2), (H3), the problem ( \(P_{n}^{\omega}\) ) has a unique weak solution \(\left(V_{n}\right)_{0 \leq n \leq N}\) and for all \(n=1, \ldots, N, V_{n} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap\) \(W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)\).

For \(n=1\), we denote \(V=V_{1}\) and we rewrite the problem (6) as
\[
\begin{cases}-\tau \operatorname{div}(\Phi(\nabla V-\Theta(V)))+\bar{\beta}(V)=F & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{8}\\ V=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
\]

Thanks to the hypothesis (H2), the function \(F=\tau f_{1}+v_{0}\) is an element of \(L^{\infty}(\Omega)\) and the function \(\bar{\beta}(s)=\tau \beta(s)+s\) is a non decreasing continuous real function on \(\mathbb{R}\) surjective such that \(\bar{\beta}(0)=0\). Therefore, by \([7]\), the problem (8) has a unique weak solution \(V_{1}\) in \(L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)\).

By induction, we deduce by the same way that the problem ( \(P^{\omega}\) ) has a unique weak solution \(\left(V_{n}\right)_{0 \leq n \leq N}\) such that \(n=1, \ldots, N, V_{n} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)\).

\subsection*{3.2. Stability results}

In this part, we prove some a priori estimates for the discrete weak solution \(\left(V_{n}\right)_{1 \leq n \leq N}\) which we use later to derive convergence results for the Euler forward scheme.

Theorem 3. Under the hypotheses (H1), (H2), (H3) there exists a positive constant \(C\left(V_{0}, f, F\right)\) depending on the data but not on \(N\) such that for all \(n=1\), \(\ldots\)... \(N\), we have
\[
\begin{gather*}
\left\|V_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\left(v_{0}, f, F\right),  \tag{9}\\
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C\left(v_{0}, f, F\right)  \tag{10}\\
\tau \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\nabla V_{i}-\Theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right) \cdot \nabla V_{i} d x \leq C\left(v_{0}, f, F\right) \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
\]

Proof. For (9). For \(k>0\) and \(1 \leq n \leq N\), we have \(V_{n} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)\). So, multiplying \(\left(P_{n}^{\omega}\right)\) by \(\left|V_{n}\right|^{k} V_{n}\) and integrating over \(\Omega\), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|V_{n}\right|^{k+2} d x-\tau \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} & \left(\Phi\left(\nabla V_{n}-\Theta\left(V_{n}\right)\right)\right)\left|V_{n}\right|^{k} V_{n} d x+\tau \int_{\Omega} \beta\left(V_{n}\right)\left|V_{n}\right|^{k} V_{n} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\tau f_{n}+V_{n-1}\right)\left|V_{n}\right|^{k} V_{n} d x \tag{12}
\end{align*}
\]

According to Holder's inequality, (H1), (H2), and (H3), using also the fact that \(\Phi\left(\nabla V_{i}-\Theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right) . \nabla V_{i}\) is monotone, we get
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{n}\right\|_{k+2}^{k+2} \leq \tau c_{1}\left\|V_{n}\right\|_{k+1}^{k+1}+\left\|V_{n-1}\right\|_{k+2}\left\|V_{n}\right\|_{k+2}^{k+1} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
\]

We obtain
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{n}\right\|_{k+2} \leq \tau c_{1}\left\|V_{n}\right\|_{k+1}^{k+1}+\left\|V_{n-1}\right\|_{k+2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
\]

By using simple induction, we get
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{n}\right\|_{k+2} \leq N c_{2} T+\left\|V_{0}\right\|_{k+2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
\]

Finally, as \(k \rightarrow \infty\), we obtain the result (9).
For (10). Let \(1 \leq i \leq N\) and let \(\varphi=V_{i}\) as a test function in (7). Then we have
\(\int_{\Omega}\left(V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right) V_{i} d x+\tau \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\nabla V_{i}-\Theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right) . \nabla V_{i} d x+\tau \int_{\Omega} \beta\left(V_{i}\right) V_{i} d x=\int_{\Omega} \tau f_{i} V_{i} d x\).

By the elementary identity
\[
a(a-b)=\frac{1}{2} a^{2}-\frac{1}{2} b^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(a-b)^{2}
\]
we get from (16)
\(\frac{1}{2}\left\|V_{i}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|V_{i-1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\tau \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\nabla V_{i}-\Theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right) . \nabla V_{i} d x \leq \tau c_{3}\left\|V_{i}\right\|_{2}\).
Taking the sum of (17) from \(i=1\) to \(n\), we get
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|V_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|V_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\tau \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\nabla V_{i}-\Theta\left(U_{i}\right)\right) . \nabla V_{i} d x \leq c_{4} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
\]

So
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\tau \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\nabla V_{i}-\Theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right) . \nabla V_{i} d x \leq c_{4}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|V_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
\]

Thus
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\tau \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\nabla V_{i}-\Theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right) . \nabla V_{i} d x \leq c_{5} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
\]

Hence
\[
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq c_{5} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
\]

This yields the stability result (10).
For (11). By (20) and (10), we have the stability result (11).

Theorem 4. Let the hypotheses (H1), (H2), (H3) hold. Then, there exists a positive constant \(C\left(u_{0}, f, F\right)\) depending on the data but not on \(N\) such that for all \(n=1, \ldots, N\), we have
\[
\begin{gather*}
\tau \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\beta\left(V_{i}\right)\right\|_{1} \leq C\left(v_{0}, f, F\right)  \tag{22}\\
\lim _{k \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\tau}{k} \int_{\left\{\left|V_{i}\right| \leq k\right\}} \Phi\left(\nabla V_{i}-\Theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right) \cdot \nabla V_{i} \leq C\left(v_{0}, f, F\right)  \tag{23}\\
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right\|_{1} \leq C\left(v_{0}, f, F\right) \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
\]

Proof. For (22) and (23).
Let \(\varphi=T_{k}\left(V_{i}\right)\) as a test function in (7). Then, dividing this equality by \(k\) and taking limits when \(k\) goes to 0 , we have
\[
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{i}\right\|_{1}+\tau\left\|\beta\left(V_{i}\right)\right\|_{1}+\lim _{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tau}{k} \int_{\left\{\left|V_{i}\right| \leq k\right\}} \Phi\left(\nabla V_{i}-\Theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right) . \nabla V_{i} \leq \tau\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{1}+\left\|V_{i-1}\right\|_{1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
\]

Summing (25) from \(i=1\) to \(n\), we deduce the stability results (22) and (23).
For (24).
Let \(\varphi=T_{\tau}\left(V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right)\) in (7). Then, dividing this equality by \(\tau\) we get
\[
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right) \frac{T_{\tau}\left(V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right)}{\tau} d x+\int_{B_{\tau}^{i}} \Phi\left(\nabla V_{i}-\Theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\nabla V_{i}-\nabla V_{i-1}\right) d x \\
\leq \tau\left\|\beta\left(V_{i}\right)\right\|_{1}+\tau\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{1} \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
\]
where \(B_{\tau}^{i}=\left\{\left|V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right| \leq \tau\right\}\).
By applying Lemma 2.5, we get
\[
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla V_{i}-\theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right|^{p(x)}-\frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla V_{i-1}-\theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right|^{p(x)} \leq \\
\leq \omega(x)\left|\nabla V_{i}-\theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right|^{p(x)-2}\left(\nabla V_{i}-\theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\nabla V_{i}-\nabla V_{i-1}\right) .
\end{gathered}
\]

So
\[
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega}\left(V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right) \frac{T_{\tau}\left(V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right)}{\tau} d x+ \\
+\int_{B_{\tau}^{i}}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla V_{i}-\theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right|^{p(x)}-\frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla V_{i-1}-\theta\left(V_{i}\right)\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x \\
\leq \tau\left\|\beta\left(V_{i}\right)\right\|_{1}+\tau\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{1}
\end{gathered}
\]

Summing the inequality above from \(i=1\) to \(n\), using the stability result (22), we have
\[
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right) \frac{T_{\tau}\left(V_{i}-V_{i-1}\right)}{\tau} d x \leq \\
\leq \frac{1}{p(x)} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla V_{0}\right|^{p(x)} d x+c_{6} \leq \frac{1}{p_{-}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla V_{0}\right|^{p(x)} d x+c_{6} . \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
\]

So, we let \(\tau\) tend to 0 in the inequality above, and we get the stability result (24).

\section*{4. Convergence and existence results}

In this part, using the above results, we build a weak solution of problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\) and we show that this solution is unique.

\subsection*{4.1. Proof of existence}

Let us introduce a piecewise linear extension, called Rothe function by
\[
\left\{\begin{align*}
v_{N}(0) & :=v_{0}  \tag{28}\\
v_{N}(t) & \left.\left.:=V_{n-1}+\left(V_{n}-V_{n-1}\right) \frac{\left(t-t_{n-1}\right)}{\tau}, \forall t \in\right] t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right], n=1, \ldots, N \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
\]
and a piecewise constant function
\[
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\bar{v}_{N}(0):=v_{0}  \tag{29}\\
\left.\left.\bar{v}_{N}(t):=V_{n} \quad \forall t \in\right] t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right], \quad n=1, \ldots, N \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega,
\end{array}\right.
\]
where \(t_{n}:=n \tau\). As already shown, for any \(N \in \mathbb{N}\), the solution \(\left(V_{n}\right)_{1 \leq n \leq N}\) of problems \(\left(P_{n}^{\omega}\right)\) is unique. Thus, \(v_{N}\) and \(\bar{v}_{N}\) are uniquely defined and by construction, for any \(\left.t \in] t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right], n=1, \ldots, N\), we have
i) \(\frac{\partial v_{N}(t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\left(V_{n}-V_{n-1}\right)}{\tau}\).
ii) \(\bar{v}_{N}(t)-v_{N}(t)=\left(V_{n}-V_{n-1}\right) \frac{t_{n}-t}{\tau}\).

By Theorem 3, for any \(N \in \mathbb{N}\), the solution \(\left(V_{n}\right)_{1 \leq n \leq N}\) of problem (6) is unique. Thus, \(v_{N}\) and \(\bar{v}_{N}\) are uniquely defined.

By using the stability results of Theorem 4, we deduce the following a priori estimates concerning the Rothe function \(v_{N}\) and the function \(\bar{u}_{N}\).

Lemma 3. Under the hypotheses (H1), (H2) and (H3), there exists a positive constant \(C\left(T, v_{0}, f, F\right)\) not depending on \(N\) such that for all \(N \in \mathbb{N}\), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\bar{v}_{N}-v_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{N} C\left(T, v_{0}, f, F\right)  \tag{30}\\
&\left\|\bar{v}_{N}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C\left(T, v_{0}, f, F\right)  \tag{31}\\
&\left\|v_{N}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C\left(T, v_{0}, f, F\right)  \tag{32}\\
&\left\|\bar{v}_{N}\right\|_{L^{p(x)}\left(0, T, W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)\right)} \leq C\left(T, v_{0}, f, F\right)  \tag{33}\\
&\left\|\beta\left(\bar{v}_{N}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq C\left(T, v_{0}, f, F\right)  \tag{34}\\
&\left\|\frac{\partial v_{N}}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2} \leq C\left(T, v_{0}, f, F\right) \tag{35}
\end{align*}
\]

\section*{Proof.}

For (30). We have
\[
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\bar{v}_{N}-v_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2} & =\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|\bar{v}_{N}-v_{N}\right|^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \int_{\Omega}\left|V_{n}-V_{n-1}\right|^{2}\left(\frac{t_{n}-t}{\tau}\right)^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{N} C\left(T, v_{0}, f, F\right) .
\end{aligned}
\]

Using the same method as above, we prove the estimates (31), (32), (33) and (34).

For (35).
We have for \(n=1, \ldots, N\) and \(t \in\left(t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]\)
\[
\frac{\partial v_{N}(t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\left(V_{n}-V_{n-1}\right)}{\tau}
\]

This yields
\[
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\frac{\partial v_{N}}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)}=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{\partial v_{N}}{\partial t}\right| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t \\
=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} \frac{1}{\tau}\left\|V_{n}-V_{n-1}\right\|_{1} \\
=\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left\|V_{n}-V_{n-1}\right\|_{1} .
\end{gathered}
\]

By the result (24), we deduce the estimate (35). Finally, the proof of Lemma 3 is complete.

Now, using the two results (31) and (32) of Lemma 3, we find that the sequences \(\left(v_{N}\right)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}\) and \(\left(\bar{v}_{N}\right)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}\) are uniformly bounded in \(L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{2}(\Omega)\right)\). Therefore, there exist two elements \(v\) and \(u\) in \(L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{2}(\Omega)\right)\) such that
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{v}_{N} \rightarrow^{*} v \quad \text { in } \quad L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \\
& v_{N} \rightarrow^{*} u \quad \text { in } \quad L^{\infty}\left(0, T, L^{2}(\Omega)\right) .
\end{aligned}
\]

And by the result (30) of Lemma 3 it follows that
\[
v \equiv u
\]

Furthermore, by Lemma 3 and the hypothesis (H2), we obtain
\[
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial v_{N}}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)  \tag{36}\\
\bar{v}_{N} \rightarrow v \quad \text { in } L^{p(x)}\left(0, T, W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega, \omega)\right) . \tag{37}
\end{gather*}
\]

By the hypothesis ( \(H 1\) ), we know that
\[
\beta\left(\bar{v}_{N}\right) \rightarrow \beta(v) \quad \text { a.e. in } Q_{T}
\]
and
\[
\left|\beta\left(\bar{v}_{N}\right)\right| \leq M\left|\bar{v}_{N}\right| \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)
\]

Then, thanks to the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we deduce that
\[
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left(\bar{v}_{N}\right) \rightarrow \beta(v) \quad \text { in } \quad L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
\]

Since \(\left\{\nabla \bar{v}_{N}-\Theta\left(\bar{v}_{N}\right)\right\}\) is equiintegrable by the assumption (H3) and due to the boundedness of \(\left(\bar{v}_{N}\right)\), we have
\[
\Phi\left(\nabla \bar{v}_{N}-\Theta\left(\bar{v}_{N}\right)\right) \rightarrow \Phi(\nabla v-\Theta(v)) \quad \text { weakly } \quad \text { in } \quad L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)
\]

From the reflexivity of \(L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega, \omega)\) and the boundedness of \(\left\{\Phi\left(\nabla \bar{v}_{N}-\Theta\left(\bar{v}_{N}\right)\right)\right\}\), we have
\[
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(\nabla \bar{v}_{N}-\Theta\left(\bar{v}_{N}\right)\right) \rightarrow \Phi(\nabla v-\Theta(v)) \quad \text { weakly } \quad \text { in } \quad\left(L^{p^{\prime}(x)}\left(Q_{T}, \omega\right)\right)^{d} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
\]

Thanks to Lemma 3 and Aubin-Simon's compactness result, we obtain
\[
\begin{equation*}
v_{N} \rightarrow v \quad \text { in } \quad C\left(0, T, L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
\]

Now, let us show that the limit function \(u\) is a weak solution of problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\). Firstly, we have \(v_{N}(0)=V_{0}=v_{0}\) for all \(N \in \mathbb{N}\). Then \(v(0,)=.v_{0}\). Now let \(\varphi \in C^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)\) and rewrite (5) in the form
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial v_{N}}{\partial t} \varphi d x d t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} & \Phi\left(\nabla \bar{v}_{N}-\Theta\left(\bar{v}_{N}\right)\right) \cdot \nabla \varphi d x d t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \beta\left(_{N}\right) \varphi d x d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} f_{N} \varphi d x d t \tag{41}
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\left.\left.f_{N}(t, x)=f_{n}(x), \forall t \in\right] t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right], n=1, \ldots, N .
\]

Taking limits as \(N \rightarrow \infty\) in (41) and by the above results, we find that \(v\) is a weak solution of nonlinear parabolic problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\).

\subsection*{4.2. Proof of uniqueness}

Let \(v^{1}\) and \(v^{2}\) be two weak solutions of nonlinear parabolic problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\). Take \(\varphi=v^{1}-v^{2}\) as a test function for solution \(v^{1}\) in (5) and take \(\varphi=v^{2}-v^{1}\) as a test function for solution \(v^{2}\) in (5). Then we obtain
\[
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial v^{1}}{\partial t}\left(v^{1}-v^{2}\right) d x d t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\nabla v^{1}-\Theta\left(v^{1}\right)\right) \cdot \nabla\left(v^{1}-v^{2}\right) d x d t \\
\quad+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \beta\left(v^{1}\right)\left(v^{1}-v^{2}\right) d x d t=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} f\left(v^{1}-v^{2}\right) d x d t
\end{gathered}
\]
and
\[
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial v^{2}}{\partial t}\left(v^{2}-v^{1}\right) d x d t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\nabla v^{2}-\Theta\left(v^{2}\right)\right) \cdot \nabla\left(v^{2}-v^{1}\right) d x d t \\
\quad+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \beta\left(v^{2}\right)\left(v^{2}-v^{1}\right) d x d t=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} f\left(v^{2}-v^{1}\right) d x d t
\end{gathered}
\]

By summing up the two above equalities, we get
\[
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial\left(v^{1}-v^{2}\right)}{\partial t}\left(v^{1}-v^{2}\right) d x d t+ \\
+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\Phi\left(\nabla v^{1}-\Theta\left(v^{1}\right)\right)-\Phi\left(\nabla v^{2}-\Theta\left(v^{2}\right)\right)\right) \cdot \nabla\left(v^{1}-v^{2}\right) d x d t
\end{gathered}
\]
\[
+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left(\beta\left(v^{1}\right)-\beta\left(v^{2}\right)\right)\left(v^{1}-v^{2}\right) d x d t=0
\]

According to the hypotheses \((H 1)\), (H3), we get
\[
v^{1} \equiv v^{2}
\]

\section*{5. Conclusion}

In this work, we prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for nonlinear parabolic problem \(\left(P^{\omega}\right)\) using time discretization technique by Euler forward scheme and Rothe method combined with the theory of variable exponent weighted Sobolev spaces.
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