Azerbaijan Journal of Mathematics V. 14, No 1, 2024, January ISSN 2218-6816 https://doi.org/10.59849/2218-6816.2024.1.133

A General Result on (ϕ, δ) -Monotone Sequences

H.S. Özarslan^{*}, M.Ö. Şakar

Abstract. In this paper, a theorem dealing with the $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability factors of infinite series has been generalized to $|A, p_n, \beta; \gamma|_k$ summability method by using (ϕ, δ) -monotone sequences. This new theorem also includes some new results.

Key Words and Phrases: absolute matrix summability, infinite series, summability factors, (ϕ, δ) monotone sequences, Hölder's inequality, Minkowski's inequality.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 26D15, 40A05, 40C05, 40D15, 40G99

1. Introduction

A sequence (λ_n) is said to be convex if $\Delta^2 \lambda_n \geq 0$ for every positive integer n, where $\Delta^2 \lambda_n = \Delta(\Delta \lambda_n)$ and $\Delta \lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$. A sequence (μ_n) is said to be (ϕ, δ) -monotone if and only if $\mu_n \geq 0$, $\mu_n \to 0$ ultimately and $\Delta \mu_n \geq -\delta_{n+1}$, where (δ_n) is a sequence of non-negative numbers, (ϕ_n) is a positive monotone increasing sequence and $\sum \phi_n \delta_n < \infty$ (see [15]). Let $\sum a_n$ be an infinite series with partial sums (s_n) . Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \to \infty \ as \ n \to \infty, \ (P_{-m} = p_{-m} = 0, m \ge 1).$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v$$

133

defines the sequence (σ_n) of the (\bar{N}, p_n) mean of the sequence (s_n) , generated by the sequence of coefficients (p_n) (see [2]). The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable

http://www.azjm.org

© 2010 AZJM All rights reserved.

^{*}Corresponding author.

$$\begin{split} \left|\bar{N}, p_n\right|_k, \, k \ge 1, \, \text{if (see [1])} \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |\sigma_n - \sigma_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \end{split}$$

Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a normal matrix, i.e. a lower triangular matrix of non-zero diagonal entries. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|A, p_n, \beta; \gamma|_k$, $(k \ge 1, \gamma \ge 0$ and β is a real number), if (see [10])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} |A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s)|^k < \infty,$$

where

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

If we take $\beta = 1$, then $|A, p_n, \beta; \gamma|_k$ summability reduces to $|A, p_n; \gamma|_k$ summability method (see [5]). If we take $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$, then $|A, p_n, \beta; \gamma|_k$ summability reduces to $|A, p_n|_k$ summability method (see [16]).

2. Known Result

In [13], the following theorem dealing with $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability factors of infinite series has been proved.

Theorem 1. Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = O(np_n) \quad as \quad n \to \infty. \tag{1}$$

Suppose that there exists a sequence of numbers (μ_n) , which is (ϕ, δ) monotone with $\sum \mu_n \Delta \phi_n$ is convergent. If the conditions

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} n |\Delta^2 \mu_n| \phi_n = O(1) \quad as \quad m \to \infty,$$
(2)

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{p_n}{P_n} |t_n|^k = O(\phi_m) \quad as \quad m \to \infty,$$
(3)

where $t_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=0}^{n} v a_v$, are satisfied, then the series $\sum a_n \mu_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k, k \ge 1$.

134

If we take $\mu_n = \frac{2^{(-1)^n}}{n^4}$ and $\phi_n = \log n$, the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. But the sequence (μ_n) does not satisfy the conditions of the theorem of Mazhar [4] on $|C, 1|_k$ summability.

Lemma 1. [13] Under the conditions of Theorem 1, we get

$$n\phi_n|\Delta\mu_n| = O(1) \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$
 (4)

Lemma 2. [15] If the sequence (μ_n) is (ϕ, δ) monotone and $\sum \mu_n \Delta \phi_n$ converges, then

$$\mu_n \phi_n = o(1) \quad as \quad n \to \infty, \tag{5}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{n+1} |\Delta \mu_n| < \infty.$$
(6)

3. Main Result

There are many papers on absolute matrix summability (see [3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12]). The aim of this paper is to generalize Theorem 1 to $|A, p_n, \beta; \gamma|_k$ summability. Before stating the main theorem, we must first introduce some further notations. Given a normal matrix $A = (a_{nv})$, two lower semimatrices $\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{nv})$ and $\hat{A} = (\hat{a}_{nv})$ are given as follows:

$$\bar{a}_{nv} = \sum_{i=v}^{n} a_{ni}, \quad n, v = 0, 1, \dots$$
 (7)

and

$$\hat{a}_{00} = \bar{a}_{00} = a_{00}, \quad \hat{a}_{nv} = \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (8)

Note that \overline{A} and \widehat{A} are well-known matrices of series-to-sequence and series-to-series transformations, respectively. Then, we have

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v = \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{nv} a_v$$
(9)

and

$$\bar{\Delta}A_n(s) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \hat{a}_{n\nu} a_\nu. \tag{10}$$

Now let us prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a positive normal matrix such that

$$\bar{a}_{n0} = 1, \quad n = 0, 1, ...,$$
 (11)

$$a_{n-1,v} \ge a_{nv} \quad for \quad n \ge v+1, \tag{12}$$

$$a_{nn} = O\left(\frac{p_n}{P_n}\right),\tag{13}$$

$$|\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| = O(v |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}|), \qquad (14)$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k+1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| = O\left(\left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k}\right) \quad as \quad m \to \infty,$$
(15)

where $\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv}) = \hat{a}_{nv} - \hat{a}_{n,v+1}$. If all conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied with the condition (3) replaced by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k} |t_n|^k = O(\phi_m) \quad as \quad m \to \infty$$
(16)

then the series $\sum a_n \mu_n$ is summable $|A, p_n, \beta; \gamma|_k$, $k \ge 1$, $\gamma \ge 0$ and $-\beta(\gamma k + k - 1) + k > 0$.

Proof. Let (Θ_n) denote A-transform of the series $\sum a_n \mu_n$. Then, by (7) and (8), we have

$$\bar{\Delta}\Theta_n = \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{\hat{a}_{nv}\mu_v}{v} v a_v.$$

By Abel's transformation, we get

$$\begin{split} \bar{\Delta}\Theta_n &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{nv}\mu_v}{v}\right) \sum_{r=1}^v ra_r + \frac{\hat{a}_{nn}\mu_n}{n} \sum_{r=1}^n ra_r \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{nv}\mu_v}{v}\right) (v+1)t_v + \frac{\hat{a}_{nn}\mu_n}{n} (n+1)t_n \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{v+1}{v} \Delta_v (\hat{a}_{nv}) \mu_v t_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{v+1}{v} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \Delta \mu_v t_v \\ &+ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \mu_{v+1} \frac{t_v}{v} + \frac{n+1}{n} a_{nn} \mu_n t_n \\ &= \Theta_{n,1} + \Theta_{n,2} + \Theta_{n,3} + \Theta_{n,4}. \end{split}$$

136

A General Result on (ϕ, δ) -Monotone Sequences

To prove Theorem 2, by Minkowski's inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} |\Theta_{n,r}|^k < \infty \quad for \quad r=1,2,3,4.$$

First, using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} |\Theta_{n,1}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\mu_v|^k |v_v|^k\right) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\mu_v|^k |v_v|^k\right) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})|\right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k+1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\mu_v|^k |v_v|^k\right) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} |\mu_v| |\mu_v|^{k-1} |v_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k+1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} |\Delta_v| \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta_v| |\mu_v| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\mu_v| |v_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta_v| |\mu_v| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{P_r}{p_r}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k} |t_r|^k \\ &+ O(1) |\mu_m| \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{P_r}{p_r}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k} |t_r|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta_v| \phi_{v+1} + O(1) |\mu_m| \phi_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta_v| \phi_{v+1} + O(1) |\mu_m| \phi_m \\ &= O(1) as \quad m \to \infty, \end{split}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 2 and Lemma 2.

Now, since $v|\Delta \mu_v| = O(1/\phi_v) = O(1)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} |\Theta_{n,2}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}||\Delta \mu_v||t_v|\right)^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v|\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})||\Delta \mu_v||t_v|^k\right) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v|\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})||\Delta \mu_v||t_v|^k\right) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v|\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})||\Delta \mu_v|\right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v|\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})||\Delta \mu_v||t_v|^k\right) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})|\right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k+1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v|\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})||\Delta \mu_v||t_v|^k\right) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v|\Delta \mu_v||t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k+1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} 0|\Delta \mu_v||t_v|^k \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k} |t_r|^k \\ &+ O(1)m |\Delta \mu_m| \sum_{v=1}^{m} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_v}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k} |t_r|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v|\Delta^2 \mu_v|\phi_v + \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \mu_{v+1}|\phi_{v+1} + O(1)m |\Delta \mu_m|\phi_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v|\Delta^2 \mu_v|\phi_v + \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \mu_v|_1|\phi_v| + O(1)m |\Delta \mu_m|\phi_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v|\Delta^2 \mu_v|\phi_v + \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \mu_v|_1|\phi_v| + O(1)m |\Delta \mu_m|\phi_m \\ &= O(1) as m \to \infty, \end{split}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 2, Lemma 1 and Lemma 2.

Again using Hölder's inequality and by (14), we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} \left|\Theta_{n,3}\right|^k &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\mu_{v+1}| \frac{|t_v|}{v}\right)^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\mu_{v+1}| |k| v|^k\right) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\mu_{v+1}|^k |t_v|^k\right) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})|\right)^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k+1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\mu_{v+1}| |t_v|^k\right) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} |\mu_{v+1}| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k+1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} |\mu_{v+1}| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k+1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k} |\mu_{v+1}| |t_v|^k \\ &= O(1) as \quad m \to \infty, \end{split}$$

as in $\Theta_{n,1}$. Finally, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} |\Theta_{n,4}|^k = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} a_{nn}^k |\mu_n|^k |t_n|^k$$
$$= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)-k} |\mu_n| |t_n|^k$$
$$= O(1) \ as \ m \to \infty,$$

as in $\Theta_{n,1}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\gamma k+k-1)} |\Theta_{n,r}|^k = O(1) \quad as \quad m \to \infty, \quad for \quad r = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

This completes the proof of theorem. \blacktriangleleft

H.S. Özarslan, M.Ö. Şakar

4. Conclusion

If we take $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = 0$ and $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$, then we get Theorem 1. If we take $\beta = 1$, then we get a known result on $|A, p_n; \gamma|_k$ summability method (see [14]). Also, if we take $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$, then we get a new theorem involving $|A, p_n|_k$ summability. Moreover, if we take $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = 0$, $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $p_n = 1$ for all values of n, then we get a theorem of Mazhar [4] on $|C, 1|_k$ summability.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Research Fund of the Erciyes University, Project Number: FDK-2021-8831.

References

- H. Bor, On two summability methods, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 97(1), 1985, 147-149.
- [2] G.H. Hardy, Divergent Series, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1949.
- [3] B. Kartal, On an extension of absolute summability, Konuralp J. Math., 7(2), 2019, 433-437.
- [4] S.M. Mazhar, On $|C,1|_k$ summability factors of infinite series, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **27**, 1966, 67-70.
- [5] H.S. Özarslan, H.N. Öğdük, Generalizations of two theorems on absolute summability methods, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl., Art. 13, 1(2), 2004, 7 pp.
- [6] H.S. Ozarslan, A new application of generalized almost increasing sequences, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl., 8(2), 2016, 9-15.
- H.S. Ozarslan, A new study on generalized absolute matrix summability, Commun. Math. Appl., 7(4), 2016, 303-309.
- [8] H.S. Ozarslan, A new factor theorem for absolute matrix summability, Quaest. Math., 42(6), 2019, 803-809.
- [9] H.S. Ozarslan, An application of absolute matrix summability using almost increasing and δ-quasi-monotone sequences, Kyungpook Math. J., 59(2), 2019, 233-240.
- [10] H.S. Ozarslan, A. Karakaş, A new study on absolute summability factors of infinite series, Maejo Int. J. Sci. Technol., 13, 2019, 257-265.

- [11] H.S. Özarslan, A. Karakaş, On generalized absolute matrix summability of infinite series, Commun. Math. Appl., 10(3), 2019, 439-446.
- [12] H.S. Ozarslan, Generalized almost increasing sequences, Lobachevskii J. Math., 42(1), 2021, 167-172.
- [13] H.S. Özarslan, M.Ö. Şakar, A new application of (ϕ, δ) -monotone sequences, Russ. Math., (66)3, 2022, 30-34.
- [14] H.S. Özarslan, M.Ö. Şakar, On absolute matrix summability involving (ϕ, δ) monotone sequences, Conference Proceeding Science and Technology, **5(1)**, 2022, 9-13.
- [15] M.M. Robertson, A generalization of quasi-monotone sequences, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Soc., (2)16, 1968, 37-41.
- [16] W.T. Sulaiman, Inclusion theorems for absolute matrix summability methods of an infinite series (IV), Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 34(11), 2003, 1547-1557.

Hikmet Seyhan Özarslan Department of Mathematics Erciyes University 38039 Kayseri, Turkey E-mail: seyhan@erciyes.edu.tr

Mehmet Öner Şakar Department of Mathematics Erciyes University 38039 Kayseri, Turkey E-mail: mehmetoner1988@hotmail.com

> Received 01 January 2023 Accepted 02 August 2023