

Some Results About the Analytic Representation of Functions of the Space S_0

E. Iseni*, Sh. Rexhepi, H. Halimi

Abstract. In this paper we consider the convolution of functions which are the elements of the space $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ and we generalize the results in \mathbb{R}^n . We deal with the functions from the spaces $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ and L^1 , their convolution is an element of $S_0(\mathbb{R})$. Also, we give analytic representation for the functions of the space $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ and conclude that the convolution of the sequence of functions from $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ with another function belongs to the same space.

Key Words and Phrases: convolution, distribution, space S_0 .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 46F20, 44A15, 46F12

1. Introduction

In the introduction part we will use general notations found in [2,4,5,6]. By $S(\mathbb{R})$ we denote the space of all rapidly decreasing functions $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ for which

$$\rho_{k,n}^1(\varphi) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |x^k \varphi^{(n)}(x)| < \infty, \quad \forall k, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The dual space of $S(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of tempered distributions, denoted by $S'(\mathbb{R})$.

L. Schwartz has considered the Fourier transform F of distributions in $S'(\mathbb{R})$. The space $S'(\mathbb{R})$ has the important property that the Fourier transform of distribution in $S'(\mathbb{R})$ is also a distribution in $S'(\mathbb{R})$.

If $\varphi \in S$, then the Fourier transform is

$$F(\varphi, z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) e^{itz} dt,$$

and it is an element of S .

*Corresponding author.

Also, for $\psi \in S$, the inverse Fourier transform is

$$F^{-1}(\psi, z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(t) e^{-itz} dt,$$

and it is an element of the space S .

For $T \in S'$, the Fourier transform and the inverse Fourier transform are defined by $\langle F(T), \varphi \rangle = \langle T_t, F(\varphi, t) \rangle$ and $\langle F^{-1}(T), \varphi \rangle = \langle T_t F^{-1}(\varphi, t) \rangle$, $\varphi \in S$, respectively [3,7,8].

The function $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is called a progressive (regressive) function if and only if $\text{supp} \hat{\varphi} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ ($\text{supp} \hat{\varphi} \subseteq [-\infty, 0)$), where $\hat{\varphi}(z) = F(\varphi, -2\pi z)$.

Lemma 1. [6,7] *Let $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be a progressive function. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + |x|^2)^{p/2} |\varphi(x)| + \sup_{w \geq 0} \frac{(1+w)^{2p+1}}{w^p} |\hat{\varphi}(w)| < \infty, \forall p > 0;$
2. $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + |x|^2)^{p/2} |\varphi(x)| + \sup_{w \geq 0} (1 + w^2)^{p/2} |\hat{\varphi}(w)| < \infty, \forall p > 0.$

Definition 1. *i) Let $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be a progressive function. Then $\varphi \in S_+(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if condition 1) or condition 2) from Lemma 1 is true.*

ii) $\varphi \in S_-(\mathbb{R}) \Leftrightarrow \varphi(-x) \in S_+(\mathbb{R})$.

iii) $S_0(\mathbb{R}) = S_+(\mathbb{R}) \otimes S_-(\mathbb{R})$.

The space $S_0(\mathbb{R})$ may be defined as the space of all functions of $S(\mathbb{R})$ with all their moments zero, i.e. $\varphi \in S_0(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m \varphi(x) dx = 0, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, or $\hat{\varphi}^{(n)}(0) = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

It is true that $S_0(\mathbb{R}) \subset S(\mathbb{R})$ is dense and $S'_0(\mathbb{R}) \simeq S'(\mathbb{R})/P(\mathbb{R})$, where $P(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of polynomials, is the space of Lizorkin distributions.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$, the functions $x_+^\alpha = \begin{cases} x^\alpha, & x > 0 \\ 0, & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$ and $x_-^\alpha = \begin{cases} (-x)^\alpha, & x < 0 \\ 0, & x \geq 0 \end{cases}$ define Lizorkin distributions $x_+^\alpha : \varphi \rightarrow \int_0^\infty x^\alpha \varphi(x) dx$ and $x_-^\alpha : \varphi \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^0 (-x)^\alpha \varphi(x) dx$, $\varphi(x) \in S(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. $\langle x_+^\alpha, \varphi \rangle = \int_0^\infty x^\alpha \varphi(x) dx$ and $\langle x_-^\alpha, \varphi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^0 (-x)^\alpha \varphi(x) dx$, $\varphi(x) \in S(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 1. [1, 7, 8] *Let $f \in S, n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\{0\}$. Then*

- 1) $F(f^{(n)}, \omega) = (-i\omega)^n F(f(\omega));$
- 2) $F(f(t - a), \omega) = e^{a\omega i} F(f(\omega));$

$$3) F(f(at), \omega) = \frac{1}{|a|} F(f(\frac{\omega}{a})).$$

Theorem 2. [1] Let $T \in S'$. Then

$$1) F(T^{(n)}) = (-it)^n F(T);$$

$$2) F(T) = S, \quad S^{(n)} = F((i\omega)^n T).$$

Definition 2. Let $f, g \in S_0$. We define $(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t)g(x-t)dt$.

Lemma 2. [1, 4] Let $f \in L^1$. Then $F(f, w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t)e^{i\langle t, w \rangle} dt$ exists, is continuous and is uniformly bounded on \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 3. [1, 3](Parseval's formula). Let $f, g \in L^2$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(f, t)g(t)dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(w)F(g, w)dw,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F^{-1}(f, t)g(t)dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(w)F^{-1}(g, w)dw.$$

2. Main results

Theorem 4. Let $f, g \in S_0$. Then $f * g \in S_0$.

Proof. While $f \in S_0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m f(x)dx = 0 \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and as $g \in S_0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m g(x)dx = 0, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We have $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f * g)(x) x^m dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)g(x-t)dt x^m dx$.

By Fubini's theorem we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f * g)(x) x^m dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)g(x-t)dt x^m dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)dt \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m g(x-t)dx.$$

While

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m g(x-t)dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x+t)^m g(x)dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\binom{m}{0} x^m + \binom{m}{1} x^{m-1}t + \dots + \binom{m}{m} x^0 t^m \right) g(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

We get $\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m g(x-t)dx = 0 + 0 \dots + 0 = 0$. ◀

Theorem 5. Let $f \in L^1, g \in S_0$ and $h = f * g$. Then $h \in S_0$ and the Cauchy representation $\hat{h}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{h(t)}{t-z} dt, z = x + iy, \text{Im}z \neq 0$, is valid.

Proof. For $\varphi \in D$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\hat{h}(x + iy) - \hat{h}(x - iy)) \varphi(x) dx &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{h(t)}{t-z} - \frac{h(t)}{t-\bar{z}} \right) dt \right) \varphi(x) dx = \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{f(u)g(t-u)du}{t-z} - \frac{h(u)g(t-u)du}{t-\bar{z}} \right) dt \right) \varphi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using Holder inequality and Fubini's theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\hat{h}(x + iy) - \hat{h}(x - iy)) \varphi(x) dx &= \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{t-z} - \frac{\varphi(x)}{t-\bar{z}} \right) dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) du \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t-u) dt \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{y}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varphi(x)}{|t-z|^2} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) du \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t-u). \end{aligned}$$

While $\frac{y}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\varphi(x) dx}{|t-z|^2} = \hat{\varphi}(t + iy)$, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) du \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t-u) \hat{\varphi}(t + iy) dt \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) du \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t-u) \varphi(t) dt$ as $y \rightarrow 0^+$.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\hat{h}(x + iy) - \hat{h}(x - iy)] \varphi(x) dx &= \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) g(t-u) du \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f * g)(t) \varphi(t) dt = \langle f * g, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

◀

Theorem 6. Suppose that the sequence $\{f_n\}$ converges to $f \in S_0$ and $g \in S_0$. Then the sequence $\{l_n\} = \{f_n * g\}$ converges to $l = f * g \in S_0$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m l_n(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m l(x) dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m (f_n * g)(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m (f * g)(x) dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [f_n(t)g(x-t)dt - f(t)g(x-t)dt] x^m dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [f_n(t) - f(t)] g(x-t) x^m dt dx \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using Fubini's theorem, in the last integral we may change the order of integration. Then

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m l_n(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m l(x) dx \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(t) - f(t)| dt \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x-t) x^m dx =$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(t) - f(t)| dt \cdot 0 = 0.$$

So, $f_n * g$ converges to $f * g \in S_0$. ◀

Theorem 7. Suppose that $f \in S_0$ and consider the sequence $\{g_n\}$, $g_n \in S_0$. Then the sequence $\{l_n\}$, $l_n = f * g_n$ converges to $l = f * g$ in S_0 .

Proof. Let $l_n = f * g_n$ and $l = f * g$. Then

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m l_n(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m l(x) dx \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m [f(t)g_n(x-t)dt - f(t)g(x-t)] dt dx \right| \\ \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m [f(t)g_n(x-t) - f(t)g(x-t)] dt \right| dx.$$

Applying Fubini's theorem in the last integral, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m l_n(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m l(x) dx \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)| dt \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^m |g_n(x-t) - g(x-t)| dx = \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)| dt \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x+t)^m |g_n(x) - g(x)| dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)| dt \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x+t)^m |g_n(x)| dx \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)| dt \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x+t)^m |g(x)| dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)| (0+0) = 0.$$

So, $l_n = f * g_n$ converges to $l = f * g$ in S_0 . ◀

Theorem 8. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of functions in S_0 that converges to f in S_0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and let

$$\hat{f}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{f(t)}{t-z} dt, \quad z = x + iy, \quad \text{Im}z \neq 0.$$

Then $\hat{f}(z)$ is an analytic representation of $f(t)$.

Proof. Let $z = x + iy$ be a complex number such that $\text{Im}z \neq 0$. For any $\varphi \in D$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} [\hat{f}(x+iy) - \hat{f}(x-iy)] \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{\hat{f}(t)}{t-z} - \frac{\hat{f}(t)}{t-\bar{z}} \right] dt \right) \varphi(x) dx.$$

On the other hand

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i(t-z)} = F^{-1}(H(w)e^{iwz}, t), \quad y > 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i(t-\bar{z})} = F^{-1}(H(-w)e^{iwz}, t), \quad y < 0.$$

From the Parseval's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{f(t)}{t-z} - \frac{f(t)}{t-\bar{z}} \right] dt \right) \varphi(x) dx = \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) F^{-1}(H(w)e^{iwz}, t) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) F^{-1}(H(-w)e^{iw\bar{z}}, t) dt \right) \varphi(x) dx = \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(w)e^{iwz} F^{-1}(f, w) dw + \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(-w)e^{iw\bar{z}} F^{-1}(f, w) dw \right) \varphi(x) dx = \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} H(w)e^{iwz} e^{-wy} F^{-1}(f, w) dw + \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(-w)e^{iwz} e^{wy} F^{-1}(f, w) dw \right) \varphi(x) dx = \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iwz} F^{-1}(f, w) (H(w)e^{-wy} + H(-w)e^{wy}) dw \right) \varphi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\hat{f}(x+iy) - \hat{f}(x-iy) \right] \varphi(x) dx = \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) e^{iwx} dx F^{-1}(f, w) (H(w)e^{-wy} + H(-w)e^{wy}) dw = \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\varphi, w) F^{-1}(f, w) (H(w)e^{-wy} + H(-w)e^{wy}) dw.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and Parseval's formula (while $f \in S_0 \subset S \subset L^2$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\hat{f}(x+iy) - \hat{f}(x-iy) \right] \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\varphi, w) F^{-1}(f, w) dw = \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) F^{-1}(F(\varphi, t)) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \varphi(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

◀

Note 1. Let $\langle t, w \rangle$ denote $t_1 w_1 + t_2 w_2 + \dots + t_n w_n$, $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$.

Theorem 9. Let $f, g \in S_0$. Then $f * g \in L^1$.

Proof. While $S_0 \subset S \subset L^1$, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| dx = C_1$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x-t)| dx = C_2$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(t)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x-t)| dx dt = C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(t)| dt = C_1 C_2.$$

So, $\exists \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(t)g(x-t)| dx dt$, which implies $f * g \in L^1$. ◀

Theorem 10. Let $f, g \in S_0$. Then $F(f * g, w) = F(f, w) F(g, w)$.

Proof. Since $f, g \in S_0$, we have $f, g \in L^1$. Then

$$F(f * g, w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f * g)(t) e^{i\langle t, w \rangle} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(m) g(t-m) dm e^{i\langle t, w \rangle} dt.$$

Since $|f(m)g(m-t)|$ is integrable in \mathbb{R}^n , by Fubini's theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(m)g(t-m)dm e^{i\langle t,w \rangle} dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(t-m)e^{i\langle t,w \rangle} dm dt = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(t)e^{i\langle t+m,w \rangle} dm dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(m)dm \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(t)e^{i\langle t+m,w \rangle} dt = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(m)e^{i\langle m,w \rangle} dm \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(t)e^{i\langle t,w \rangle} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $F(f * g, w) = F(f, w) F(g, w)$.

References

- [1] H. Bremerman, *Raspredelenija, kompleksnije permenenije i preobrazovanija Furje*, Izdatelstvo, Mir, Moskva, 1968.
- [2] E.J. Beltrami, M.R. Wohlers, *Distributions and the boundary values of analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [3] R. Carmichael, D. Mitrovic, *Distributions and analytic functions*, Longman Scientific & Technical, New York, 1989.
- [4] L. Jantcher, *Distributionen*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1971.
- [5] N. Reckoski, *One proof for the analytic representation of distributions*, Matematicki Bilten 28 (LIV), Skopje, 2004, 19-30.
- [6] A.H. Zemanian: *Distribution Theory and Transform Analysis*, M_cGraw-Hill Company, New York, 1965.
- [7] E. Iseni, B. Bedjeti, V. Manova Erakovikj, Sh. Rexhepi, *On the Fourier Transform of the Convolution of a Distribution and a Function Belonging to the Space $S_0(\mathbb{R})$* , Azerbaijan Journal of Mathematics, **14(1)**, 2024, 35-43.
- [8] E. Iseni, S. Rexhepi, B. Shaini, S. Kera, *Some results on the analytic representation including the convolution in the L_p spaces*, J. Math. Comput. Sci., **10(6)**, 2020, 2493-2502.

Egzona Iseni
 Mother Teresa University, Skopje, North Macedonia
 E-mail: egzona.iseni@unt.edu.mk

Shpetim Rexhepi
 Mother Teresa University, Skopje, North Macedonia
 E-mail: shpetim.rexhepi@unt.edu.mk

Halim Halimi

University of Tetovo, Tetovo, North Macedonia

E-mail: halim.halimi@unite.edu.mk

Received 14 November 2023

Accepted 15 March 2024